

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

FY 2027 GRANT APPLICATION SECTION 5303 PROGRAM

METROPOLITAN PLANNING

APPLICATION DUE **SEPTEMBER 30, 2025**

This is a fillable form. Please use Adobe Acrobat Reader to complete this application. You may use the tab button to navigate between fillable form fields. Only the Transmittal Letter and Authorizing Resolution should be printed and returned as a scanned application attachment. All other application components should be completed and returned electronically.

APPLICANT		
	Submitted By	
	(Name & Title)	
	Date Submitted	
GDOT		
	Draiget Manager Signature	
	Project Manager Signature	
	Date Received	

FY 2027 Section 5303 Grant Application Checklist

gal Name of Applicant:	
Name/Description of Item	Completed (Yes/No)
Part A: Contract Authorization Tracking System (CATS) Profile Form	,
Part B: Transmittal Letter (on Letterhead)	
Part C: Authorizing Resolution	
Part D: Project Description, Budget, and Milestones	
Part E: Title VI Data Collection and Reporting	
Part F: Equal Employment Opportunity Questionnaire	
Part G: SAM Registration	
Part H: Expenditures Form	
Part I: Indirect Cost Documentation	
Part J: Financial Certifications	
Appendix A: FTA Certifications and Assurances	
Appendix B: Definition of FTA Technical Activities	
Appendix C: Performance-Based Transit Planning Agreement	
Appendix D: FY 2026 Additional 5303 Funding Request Scoring Criteria	
Appendix E: TAMP Participant Accountable Executive Approval Form	
Appendix F: Contact Information for GDOT Project Managers	
ease Note: complete and incorrect applications will be returned to the arrections. Late submissions will be documented as such; in the used as part of the application evaluation upon which ad awarded by GDOT.	nformation provided her
EVIEWED BY be completed by GDOT Staff	
GDOT Transit Planner	Date
GDOT Transit Planning Manager	Date

Introduction & Funding Distribution

Please refer to the table below to identify Applicant Organization's total available allocation of FY 2027 Section 5303 planning funds.

Considerations

- The amount depicted under "Total Allocation" represents only the Federal funding available to each MPO.
- The proposed project must also include non-federal matching funds of 20% of the total project cost.
- For FY 2027, GDOT has identified state matching funds of up to 10% of the total project cost. Your organization must provide the remaining 10% local match.

For FY 2027, GDOT has identified additional funding availability. Organizations with identified planning project needs beyond their allocation are encouraged to apply for additional funding. All such additional funding requests will be considered according to criteria in Appendix D.

Table 1: Urban Area Population and Section 5303 Allocation Statistics

FY 2027 SECTION 5303 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION Based on 2020 Census URBANIZED AREA (UZA) POPULATION

МРО	Base Amount	Population 2020 Census*	Population Factor	Population Allocation	Total Allocation
Albany	\$30,000	85,960	1.22%	\$45,352	\$75,352
Athens	\$30,000	143,213	2.03%	\$75,558	\$105,558
Atlanta	\$500,000	5,100,112	72.15%	\$2,690,794	\$3,190,794
Augusta	\$40,000	322,081	4.56%	\$169,928	\$209,928
Brunswick	\$25,000	68,750	0.97%	\$36,272	\$61,272
Cartersville	\$25,000	52,351	0.74%	\$27,620	\$52,620
Chattanooga**	\$4,798	78,160	1.11%	\$41,237	\$46,035
Columbus	\$40,000	205,027	2.90%	\$108,171	\$148,171
Dalton	\$30,000	67,830	0.96%	\$35,787	\$65,787
Gainesville	\$30,000	164,365	2.33%	\$86,718	\$116,718
Hinesville	\$30,000	53,107	0.75%	\$28,019	\$58,019
Macon	\$30,000	140,111	1.98%	\$73,922	\$103,922
Rome	\$30,000	60,403	0.85%	\$31,868	\$61,868
Savannah	\$40,000	309,466	4.38%	\$163,273	\$203,273
Valdosta	\$25,000	76,769	1.09%	\$40,503	\$65,503
Warner Robins	\$30,000	141,132	2.00%	\$74,461	\$104,461
GDOT Administration	\$140,000				\$140,000
Totals	\$1,079,798	7,068,837	100%	\$3,729,484	\$4,809,282

*Population figures match those used in GDOT's PL funding distribution.

4,809,282 Available for 5303 MPO Planning - FY 2024 (FTA Annual Apportionment)

(\$1,079,798) Base Amount

\$3,729,484 Available 5303 Funds - Distributed via Formula Allocation

^{**} Chattanooga will not receive any STATE MATCH

Submission Guidelines

Please use the following guidance in submitting completed Section 5303 grant applications to GDOT.

- Applications should be submitted with the transmittal letter on Applicant Organization letterhead.
 Authorizing resolutions must be notarized. Both documents should be submitted as scanned attachments with the rest of the application package submitted electronically.
- All other required application materials included in this application packet shall be completed, saved, and returned in digital form.
- Applicants are asked to not change the format of any of the required items in the grant application.

Please Note:

Incomplete and incorrect applications will be returned to the transit agency for corrections. Late submissions will be documented as such; information provided herein will be used as part of the application evaluation upon which, final budgets are based on and awarded by GDOT.

If submitting projects in BlackCat, please adhere to the following:

- The project descriptions must be detailed with project milestones.
- Mentioning name brands in the grant application for any project descriptions is prohibited under FTA procurement guidance.
- Ensure the correct Activity Line Item (ALI) is selected for the project requested.
- Ensure all projects are to the whole dollar (evenly split preferred) with NO PENNIES and CENTS.

Applications will be rejected if these project guidelines are not followed.

Part A: Contract Authorization Tracking System (CATS) Profile Form

SUBRECIPIENT ORGANIZATION INFORMATION					
Organization Official Na (as it appears in W9 Tax for			Physical Address	Mailing Address (if different)	
(as it appears in we fax for	mi)				
Charging Indirect Costs:	YES	□ NO			
Approved ICR Plan:	YES	∐ NO			
De minimis Cost Rate:	L YES	∐ NO			
Agency EIN (Tax ID):					
SAM Identification:			SAM ID EXP. Date (mm/dd/yyyy):		
a)/avifu Numbau			al/arify Data (model/model		
eVerify Number:	CIPIENT	CONTRAC	eVerify Date (mm/dd/yyyy): CT PERSONNEL in ORDER	of APPROVAL	
			E THIRD PARTY OPERATORS)	011111101112	
	Name:				
Contract Reviewer	Title:				
(if applicable)	Phone:				
	Email:				
	Name:				
Attorney (if applicable)	Title:				
, activities (ii applicable)	Phone:				
	Email:				
Evenutes #4	Name:				
Executor #1 (must have the organization's seal	Title:				
affixed or write the word seal next to signature when signing contract)	Phone:				
	Email:				
Executor #2 (if applicable)	Name:				
"must have the organization's seal affixed or write the word seal next to	Title:				
the signature when signing	Phone:				
contract"	Email:				
	Name:				
Attestor / Witness	Title:				
, mooto, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Phone:				
	Email:				
	Name:				
Notary (must be separate from attestor and	Title:				
have a valid Notary Seal)	Phone:				
	Email:				

1.	Does the Applicant Organization employ 100 or more employees?
	Yes No
2.	In FY 2026, did the Applicant Organization receive \$250,000 or more in FTA planning funds? If yes, an approved DBE program is required. Please attach a copy of your DBE plan or provide a hyperlink.
	Yes No
3.	Do you intend to charge indirect costs to this project?
	Yes No
	If yes, please indicate whether your agency has a current indirect cost plan on file with GDOT o agrees to the de minimis rate of 15%.
	Approved ICR on file with GDOT
	De minimis CR (15% fixed)

If the Applicant agrees to charge indirect cost, the Applicant must submit an approved federally recognized Indirect Cost Allocation Rate Plan (ICRP) negotiated between the cognizant agency and the Applicant or agree to the de minimis indirect cost rate of 15% as defined in §200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs, paragraph (f). Please submit the supporting documentation through BlackCat.



Hall County Government

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

September 11, 2025

POST OFFICE DRAWER 1435 GAINESVILLE, GA 30503

t: 770.535.8288

CHAIRMAN

David Gibbs

Kathy L. Cooper, District 1
Billy Powell, District 2
Gregg Poole, District 3
Jeff Stowe, District 4

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Zachary T. Propes

ASSISTANT
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
Casey Ramsey

ASSISTANT
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
John T. Gentry, Jr.

COUNTY CLERK

Jennifer Rivera

Patricia Smith, Ph.D.
Transit Program Manager
Division of Intermodal
Georgia Department of Transportation
600 W. Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30308

Ms. Smith, Ph.D.:

The Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization is applying for FY 2027 financial assistant under Title 49 US Section 5303 Metropolitan Transportation Planning grant to aid in regional transportation planning in the amount of \$145,897.50 as detailed in the table below.

	Federal Share	State Share	Local Share	Total
Regional	\$116,718.00	\$14,589.75	\$14,589.75	\$145,897.50
Transportation Planning				

Local funding, representing the 10% local matching share of the project, is being committed in the form of cash by Hall County Government.

The Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization certifies that all of the information in this funding application is correct and that the applicant has the technical, financial, and managerial capabilities to carry out the work described in this grant application. The following information in support of the request for funding is submitted for your consideration. If you have any question about this request for funding, please contact Joseph Boyd at (770) 297-5541 or iboyd@hallcounty.org.

Sincerely,



David Gibbs Chairman Hall County Board of Commissioners

Part C: Authorizing Resolution

The following two pages include an authorizing resolution that must be enacted by the Chair of the Policy Committee of the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), or the head of the governing body as appropriate. Please complete the fillable fields on the resolution, then print and sign the designated fields. The authorizing resolution must be properly witnessed and notarized, including the date the notary's commission expires. The resolution should also be stamped with the notary seal as well as the seal of the county commission, city, or appropriate applicant jurisdiction. The certificate of the attesting officer must also be completed. A scanned copy of the completed, signed, and notarized Authorizing Resolution should be submitted as an attachment with the full application package.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, FOR A GRANT UNDER TITLE 49 U.S.C., SECTION 5303.

WHEREAS, the Secretary of the US Department of Transportation and the Commissioner of the Georgia Department of Transportation are authorized to make grants for mass transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, the contract for financial assistance will impose certain obligations upon Applicant, including the provision of the local share of project costs; and

WHEREAS, it is required by the United States Department of Transportation and the Georgia Department of Transportation in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, that in connection with the filing of an application for assistance under the Federal Transit Act, the applicant gives an assurance that it will comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the United States Department of Transportation requirements thereunder; and

WHEREAS, it is the goal of the Applicant that Minority Business Enterprise (Disadvantaged Business Enterprise and Women's Business Enterprise) be utilized to the fullest extent possible in connection with this project, and that definitive procedures shall be established and administered to ensure that minority business shall have the maximum feasible opportunity to compete for contracts and purchase orders when procuring construction contracts, supplies, equipment contracts, or consultant and other services.

	OW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BYeinafter referred to as the "Applicant",
1.	That the Designated Official, hereinafter referred to as the "Official," is authorized to execute and file an application on behalf of with the Georgia Department of Transportation, to aid in the
	financing of a technical study grant pursuant to Section 5303 of the Federal Transit Act to implement specific items of the FY Unified Planning Work Program.
2.	That the Official is authorized to execute and file such application and assurances, or any other document required by the U.S. Department of Transportation and the Georgia Department of Transportation effectuating the purpose of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.
3.	That the Official is authorized to execute and file all other standard assurances, or any other document required by the Georgia Department of Transportation or the U.S. Department of Transportation in connection with the application for public transportation assistance.

5. That the Official is authorized to set forth and execute Minority Business Enterprise, DBE (Disadvantaged Business Enterprise) and WBE (Women Business Enterprise) policies and procedures in connection with the project's procurement needs as applicable.

the Georgia Department of Transportation.

4. That the Official is authorized to execute grant contract agreements on behalf of the Applicant with

6. That the applicant while making application to or receiving grants from the Federal Transit Administration will comply with FTA Circular 8100.1D, FTA Certifications and Assurances for Federal Assistance 202as listed in this grant application and General Operating Guidelines as illustrated in the Georgia State Management Plan.

meet local share requirements for this	s grant application	on.
APPROVED AND ADOPTED this	day of	, 2025
		Signature of Authorized Official
		Name and Title of Authorized Official
Signed, sealed, and delivered this of	day of	, 2025 in the presence
		Witness
		Notary Public/Notary Seal
CERTIFICATE		
The undersigned duly qualified and acting	9	of
		ial) (Applicant's Legal Name) certifies that the ed at a legally convened meeting held on
	, 2025.	(Place Seal Here)
Signature of Certifying/Attesting Officer	[
Name and Title of Certifying/Attesting Off	icer	

7. That the applicant has or will have available in the General Fund the required non-federal funds to

Part D: Project Description, Budget, and Milestones

The proposed project activities should address: (1) analysis and mapping data and information related to the needs for public transit services or facilities in the MPO's area of responsibility; (2) description of goals, objectives, and strategies to grow and/or strengthen the delivery of public transit services or facilities in the MPO's area of responsibility; and/or (3) building upon prior work leading to the development of an integrated intermodal transportation system within the MPO area. All projects must conform to FTA guidelines.

The Applicant should include excerpts/pages from the MPO's adopted/proposed Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) that includes this Section 5303 project, including description of the transit task(s) to be carried out as described in this grant application.

The Applicant should describe the work to be undertaken with the FY 2027 Section 5303 funds in the sections below. It is expected that all work described below will be completed within 12 months of the Notice to Proceed for the project.

D-1: Goals and Objectives



D-2: Tasks and Activities

1. Describe major projects/tasks that will be completed for each Activity Line Item below. MPO's should attach pages from the UPWP for FY 2026 (Work Elements).

44.21.00 Program Support and Administration:

44.22.00 General Development and Comprehensive Planning:

44.23.01 Long-Range Transportation Planning (LRTP) – System Level:
44.24.00 Short-Range Transportation Planning:
44.25.00 Transportation Improvement Program:
44.27.00 Other Activities

D-3: Deliverables

In the table below, list and describe project deliverables to be produced by in-house personnel. If seeking additional FY 2027 funding, please denote any deliverables that would result from an additional funding award.

Table 2: Project Deliverables Produced by In-House Personnel

Work Deliverable	Start Date	Completion Date	Person Responsible for Submitting FTA Quarterly Report to GDOT

1. Describe the proposed in-house projects, provide justification, goals and objectives and tasks to be accomplished in the space provided below.

D-4: Contracting Opportunities and DBE

In the table below, list and describe anticipated contracting opportunities (i.e. consultants or other vendors), budgeted amounts, and expected dates for advertising the opportunities, and project milestones for all contracted services (i.e. consultant or other vendor activities). If seeking additional FY 2027 funding, please denote any deliverables that would result from an additional funding award.

Table 3: Project Deliverables to be Produced by Consultants or Other Contractors

Work Deliverable	Start Date	Completion Date	Person Responsible for Submitting FTA Quarterly Report to GDOT
Work Deliverable #1			
Estimated Budget Amount			
Draft RFP Submitted to GDOT for Approval			
Advertise Contract Opportunity			
Draft Contract Submitted to GDOT for Approval			
Notice to Proceed (NTP) Issued to Contractor			
Draft Deliverable Submitted to GDOT for Approval			
Final Deliverable Submitted to GDOT			
Work Deliverable #2			
Estimated Budget Amount			
Draft RFP Submitted to GDOT for Approval			
Advertise Contract Opportunity			
Draft Contract Submitted to GDOT for Approval			
Notice to Proceed (NTP) Issued to Contractor			
Draft Deliverable Submitted to GDOT for Approval			
Final Deliverable Submitted to GDOT			

DBE	Goals	and Ob	iectives
------------	-------	--------	----------

DB	E Goals and Objectives
1.	What is your organization's goal for your Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program?
2.	What efforts will your organization undertake to provide open and competitive opportunities for DBE firms to become aware of and participate in the contracted activities you propose under this grant?
D-	 Planning Coordination Describe how the proposed planning tasks/activities will be coordinated with other MPO planning efforts.
D-	 TAM Targets Describe how the MPO has (or plans to) incorporated transit asset management (TAM) targets in the locally adopted transportation planning documents. Describe the coordination that took place with local transit providers to set regional targets. Please provide a link to the MPO page where those TAM targets are published.

D-7: TAM Performance Measures

1. How has the MPO documented the agreed upon provisions for cooperatively developing and sharing information related to the transit asset management performance measures? Please provide documentation (if available). Otherwise, please see the TAM agreement in Appendix E of this application, sign, and return.

D-8: PTASP Targets

 Describe how the MPO has (or plans to) incorporated Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans (PTASP) targets in the service operations. Describe the coordination that took place with local transit providers to set regional targets. Please provide a link to the MPO page where those PTASP targets are published.

D-9: Project Federal Funding Request

It is expected that a large majority of requested Section 5303 funding be directed to the technical long-range and short-range transit planning activities undertaken by the MPO. FTA's Activity Line Item (ALI) codes should be carefully reviewed and selected before costs are distributed by categories. If Applicant Organization is proposing to use ALI codes other than those listed below, please call GDOT for assistance PRIOR to submitting this grant application. See Appendix B (page 40) for a full description of each ALI listed below. These descriptions are taken directly from the FTA program Circular 8100.1C.

Project Budget

Do you intend to charge indirect costs to this project? If yes, please submit a letter from their cognizant agency with the approved rate.			
Yes	No		

2. Complete the table below with requested project budget information and line-item funding sources.

Table 4: Project Budget

FTA Scope Number	FTA ALI Number	Budget Line-Item Description	Federal Funding Request Amount	State Funding Request Amount	Local Funding Amount	Total Funding Amount
442	44.21.00	Program Support and Administration				
442	44.22.00	General Development and Comprehensive Planning				
Long-Range Transportation Planning (LRTP) – System Level						
442 44.24.00 Short-Range Transportation Planning						
442 44.25.00 Transportation Improvement Program						
442	44.27.00	Other Activities				
Total Section 5303 Funds Requested						

Anticipated Travel Budget

If any of the proposed funds in the Project Budget table above are planned to be used for travel, please identify the specific event/activity, ALI number, and anticipated costs in the table below.

Table 5: Travel Budget

Event/Activity	FTA ALI Number	Anticipated Cost

D-10: Additional Funding

Only answer if seeking FY 2027funding greater than amount allocated in Table 1.

1. If seeking additional Section 5303 funds for FY 2027, describe how the additional funds will be used. Descriptions should address how the proposed project will meet the FY 2027 additional funding priorities and scoring criteria listed in Appendix D (page 44). Specify additional project deliverables and/or contracting opportunities that would result if additional FY 2027 funding is awarded (these additional deliverables and contracting opportunities should also be listed in D-3 and D-4). Specify all ALI numbers from D-8.

Part E: Title VI Data Collection and Reporting

All applicants for FTA Section 5303 funding are required to maintain and provide GDOT with certain information pertaining to Title VI. Please answer the following Title VI questions below.

E-1: General Reporting Requirements

 The agency has not received any Title VI complaints in the last three years.
The agency has received Title VI complaints in the last three years.

If the agency has received Title VI complaints, use the following table to document those, list any active lawsuits or complaints naming the Applicant Organization which allege discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin with respect to service or other transit benefits. The list should include:

- The date the lawsuit or complaint was filed
- A summary of the allegation
- Any action taken thus far

Check One:

• The status of the lawsuit or complaint

Table 6: List of Title VI Complaints or Lawsuits

Date (Month, Year)	Summary (Include basis of complaint, race, color, or national origin)	Action Taken	Status

1.	Please provide a description of all pending applications for financial assistance and financial assistance currently provided by other federal agencies.
2.	Please provide a summary of all civil rights compliance review activities conducted at the applicant's transit system in the last three years. The summary should include:

- The purpose or reason for the review
- The name of the agency or the organization that performed the review
- A summary of the findings and recommendations of the review
- A summary report on the status and/or disposition of such findings and recommendations

E-2: MPO Reporting Requirements

Assessment of Planning Efforts

1. Provide a written description of continuing planning efforts that are responsive to the requirements of Title VI to ensure that transit planning and programming are nondiscriminatory. The description should also discuss how activities or projects programmed in the UPWP and TIP will be implemented on a nondiscriminatory basis. FTA expects to address any Title VI concerns raised during compliance reviews conducted by either transit providers or by FTA or as a result of a Title VI service complaint issue involving programming, planning activities, or capital improvements.

Monitor Title VI Activities

Monitor the Title VI activities and/or programs of local transit systems. In particular, the MPO is requested to provide documentation describing efforts to:

1.	Identify minority communities that will be affected by proposed service changes, such as route modifications, additions, deletions, or extensions under consideration by local transit providers.
2.	Provide technical assistance or guidance to local transportation providers in updating and developing Title VI information.
3.	Information Dissemination – provide a description of the methods used to inform minority communities of planning efforts (e.g., public notices, public hearings, other formal or informal public discussions, presentations, meetings, etc.) relating to transit service and improvements.
4.	Minority Participation in the Decision-Making Process - provide a written statement describing how minority groups or persons are afforded an opportunity to participate in local decision-making processes. In particular, the statement should describe liaison activities with minority community groups and other efforts to obtain minority views on transportation issues.
5.	Minority Representation on Decision-Making Bodies – provide a racial breakdown for transit related non-elected boards, advisory councils or committees, and a description of efforts made to encourage the participation of minorities on such boards or committees.

Part F: Equal Employment Opportunity Questionnaire

The FTA Master Agreement requires all applicants, recipients, subrecipients and contractors receiving funding to comply with applicable Federal civil rights laws and regulations and to follow applicable Federal guidance. FTA applicants, recipients, subrecipients, and contractors who meet both of the following threshold requirements must implement the Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Program elements (FTA C 4704.1A Chapter 2.2).

This requirement applies to state-administered programs covered by Federal Transit Laws and FTA Master Agreement funding categories under 5310 – Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities; 5311 – Rural formula grants for Rural Areas; 5339 – Bus and Bus facilities; 5307 – Urbanized Area formula grants; and 5303, 5304 and 5305 – Metropolitan and Statewide Planning funds.

All FTA applicants, recipients, subrecipients and contractors who do not meet the EEO Program threshold are not required to submit an EEOP. However, they are still required to comply with all Equal Employment Opportunity statutes and regulations.

A recipient is required to submit a full or abbreviated EEO Program based on the number of its transitrelated employees and whether it reaches a monetary threshold. Transit related employees include temporary, full-time, or part-time employees.

- Employs 100 or more transit-related employees (requires a full EEO Program)
- Employs 50 or more transit-related employees; (requires an abbreviated EEO program)

And:

 Requests or receives capital or operating assistance in excess of \$1 million in the previous Federal fiscal year or requests or receives planning assistance in excess of \$250,000 in the previous Federal fiscal year.

Example: If 'ABC Transit' is a direct subrecipient and has 22 employees, it does not meet the threshold. If 'ABC Transit' subcontracts with a Third-Party Operator, each entity is considered separately. If 'ABC Transit' with 22 employees, contracts with two agencies, one with 25 employees, and one with 52 employees (i.e. 22+25+52=99), then ABC Transit would not be required to have an EEO Program, but the contracted agency with 52 employees would be required to develop and submit to 'ABC Transit' an abbreviated EEO Program.

EEO Program Components (Full EEO Program)

- Statement of Policy
- Dissemination
- Designation of Personnel Responsibility
- Utilization Analysis
- Goals and Timetables
- Assessment of Employment Practices
- Monitoring and Reporting

Abbreviated EEO Program Components

- Statement of Policy
- Dissemination Plan
- Designation of Personnel Responsibility
- Assessment of Employee Practices
- Monitoring and Reporting Plan

Please complete all fields annually and submit to GDOT Transit Department.

Organi	zation Type:	MPO	Transit Agency	Third-Party Contractor
1.	How many trans	it related employees o	do you have in your organiza	tion?
	involved in an a	spect of an agency's n I in planning bus route	nass transit operation funded	cipient, or subrecipient who is I by FTA. For example, a city ty planner involved in land use
2.	How much did y fiscal year?	our organization recei	ve in capital or operating ass	istance the previous federal
	\$			
3.	How much did y	our organization recei	ve in planning assistance the	e previous federal fiscal year?
	\$			
4.	Has your agency thresholds noted		Program/or abbreviated pro	gram to GDOT based on the
	Yes	No		
5.	If yes, what is th	e date of your last sub	omission?	
6.	Do you contract	out any of your transit	t services?	
	Yes	No		
	If yes,			
	a. What is the	name of the agency(ie	es)?	
	b. How much o	lid the agency receive	in capital or operating assis	ance?
	c. How many t	ransit employees does	s the agency have?	
	d. Did the cont	racting agency submit	t an EEO Program to you?	
	Ye	s No		
	If yes, what	is the date of their las	t EEO Program submission?	
	I certify that the	foregoing is true and o	correct.	
	Signature:			
	Title:			
	Date:			



Non-federal users: You may only check the status of entities linked to your SAM.gov account.

Unique Entity ID CA		CAGE Code		
USZBJ4CMC7B6	×			
		Reset	Search	

Entity Information

HALL COUNTY GEORGIA • Active Registration

Unique Entity ID USZBJ4CMC7B6

Your registration was activated on 2024-10-24. It expires on 2025-10-22, which is one year after you submitted it for processing. To update or renew your registration, begin from your Entities Workspace.

Part G: SAM Certification

GDOT subrecipients must verify they are current within the Federal government's System for Awards Management (SAM) before a contract can be extended. More information can be found on the SAM website: https://sam.gov/

Please attach a copy of the Applicant Organization's SAM certification when submitting the application package.



FY 2024 - FY 2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

Adopted: August 8, 2023 - Amended: May 6, 2025





2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, GA 30504 Tel: 770.297.5541 ghmpo.org

A Resolution by the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee Adopting Amendment #6 to the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program

WHEREAS, the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization for transportation planning within the Gainesville Metropolitan Area Boundary which includes all of Hall County and a portion of Jackson County following the 2020 Census; and

WHEREAS, the Policy Committee (PC) is the recognized decision making body for transportation planning with the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization (GHMPO); and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program meets the requirement of Title 23 of the U.S. Code; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Plan meets the requirement of Title 23 of the U.S. Code; and

WHEREAS, GHMPO did conduct a required 15-day public comment period on this Amendment from April 16-30, 2025;

WHEREAS, the 2024-2027 TIP and has been amended, per Attachment #1, to add one new project, GH-018, to the TIP program years;

NOW, THERE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization adopts Amendment #6 to the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program.

A motion was made by PC member _______ and seconded by PC member ______ and approved this the 6th Day of May, 2025.

Chairman Marty Clark, Chair GHMPO Policy Committee

Joseph Boyd, MPO Director

GHMPO

The following pages contain the most up-to-date FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) document. Since its original adoption on August 8, 2023, the following amendments and administrative modifications have been conducted:

Amendments

Amendment Number	Date of Adoption	Amendment Description	Amendment Link
Amendment #1	Amendment #1 11/14/2023 Added GH-038 and GH-130; adjusted funding in GH-020A Amendment #2 5/14/2024 Removed GH-023B; adjusted funding in GH-020A and GH-023B		Click <u>Here</u>
Amendment #2			Click <u>Here</u>
Amendment #3 8/13/2024 Added		Added GH-147, GH-148, GH-149; adjusted funding in GH-130; various other updates	Click Here
Amendment #4	Amendment #4 12/10/2024 Added GH-023B and GH-151; adjusted funding in GH-147 and GH-148; various other updates		Click <u>Here</u>
Amendment #5 2/11/2025 Added GH-150		Added GH-150	Click <u>Here</u>
Amendment #6	5/6/2025	Added GH-018	Click <u>Here</u>

Administrative Modifications

Admin Mod Number	Date of Completion	Administrative Modification Description	Admin Mod Link
Admin Mod #1	8/21/2023	Adjusted funding in GH-016 and GH-020B	Click Here
Admin Mod #2	1/23/2024	Adjusted funding in GH-016, GH-020A, GH-130, GH-141	Click Here
Admin Mod #3	2/28/2024	Adjusted funding in GH-119	Click Here
Admin Mod #4	4/4/2024	Adjusted funding in GH-121, GH-130, GH-133; UTL year change in GH-020A; funding code update in GH-141	Click Here
Admin Mod #5	5/14/2024	PE year change in GH-020B	Click Here
Admin Mod #6	5/22/2024	Funding source typo corrected in GH-016	Click <u>Here</u>
Admin Mod #7	6/7/2024	Adjusted funding in GH-130	Click <u>Here</u>
Admin Mod #8	7/22/2024	Updated Hall Area Transit Fleet Inventory table	Click Here
Admin Mod #9	9/16/2024	Adjusted funding in GH-038, GH-121, GH-130, GH-133, GH-147	Click Here
Admin Mod #10	10/23/2024	Adjusted funding in GH-149	Click <u>Here</u>
Admin Mod #11	10/25/2024	Adjusted funding in GH-020A	Click <u>Here</u>
Admin Mod #12	1/2/2025	Adjusted funding in GH-121	Click <u>Here</u>
Admin Mod #13	2/5/2025	Adjusted funding in GH-016; updated various phase years in GH-020A, GH-121, GH-133; various updates	Click Here
Admin Mod #14	3/4/2025	ROW year shift in GH-023B	Click <u>Here</u>
Admin Mod #15	3/10/2025	Updated criteria for Amendments and Admin Mods	Click <u>Here</u>
Admin Mod #16	4/9/2025	Updated various phase years in GH-148 and GH-150	Click Here
Admin Mod #17	5/7/2025	Updated SCP phase year in GH-018	Click Here
Admin Mod #18	6/24/2025	Adjusted funding in GH-016, GH-124, GH-141, GH-147	Click <u>Here</u>

Table of Contents

GHMPO Committees	4
Introduction	6
Transportation Improvement Program	11
FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program	14
FY 2024-2027 Funding Categories	14
FY 2024-2027 Hall Area Transit Funding	16
Appendix A: Project Worksheets	17
Appendix B: Transit Funding	38
Appendix C: Public Comments	44
Appendix D: Lump Sum Narrative	46
Appendix E: MPO Lump Sum Projects	49
Appendix F: MPO Authorized Projects	52
Appendix G: References	58
Appendix H: Performance Management Targets	62
System Performance Report	63
Performance Management Targets	76
Transit Asset Management Targets	87
Appendix I: GHMPO TIP Conformity Report	77

GHMPO Committees

As the designated MPO for the Gainesville-Hall Area, the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization is responsible under Section 134 of Title 23, United States Code, for carrying out a "continuing, cooperative and comprehensive" (3-C) transportation planning process. The process uses three committees (Policy Committee (PC) – the decision making body, Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) – the staff, and Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) – the public) to develop and carry out a comprehensive transportation planning process and to ensure that programs, improvements, and expenditures are consistent with regional goals, policies, and plans.

- The Policy Committee is the decision making body and is represented by elected officials
 from the member jurisdictions and an official from the Georgia Department of
 Transportation (GDOT). The committee is responsible for taking into consideration the
 recommendations from the Citizens Advisory Committee and the Technical Coordinating
 Committee when adopting plans or setting policy.
- The Technical Coordinating Committee membership includes staff from the member jurisdictions, various federal, state, and local agencies and associations that have a technical knowledge of transportation or planning. The TCC evaluates transportation plans and projects based on whether or not they are technically warranted and financially feasible.
- The Citizens Advisory Committee consists of volunteer members who are interested in transportation issues. They are appointed by their member jurisdictions. The CAC is responsible for ensuring that values and interests of the citizens in Hall County and a portion of Jackson County are taken into consideration in the transportation planning process.

Policy Committee

Voting

Marty Clark, Chairman of the Board of Commissioners, Jackson County – Chair David Gibbs, Chairman of the Board of Commissioners, Hall County – Vice-Chair Kathy Cooper, District 1 Commissioner, Hall County
Jeff Stowe, District 4 Commissioner, Hall County
Sam Couvillon, Mayor, City of Gainesville
Ed Asbridge, Mayor, City of Flowery Branch
Lamar Scroggs, Mayor, City of Oakwood
Megan Weiss, Representative for the Director of Planning, GDOT

Non-Voting

Joseph Boyd, *Director, GHMPO*Renee Gerrell, *Chair, GHMPO Citizens Advisory Committee*Adam Hazell, *Chair, GHMPO Technical Coordinating Committee*Ann-Marie Day, *Planning Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration*Courtney Roberts, *Planner, Federal Transit Administration*Mary Flatt, *Transit Planner, GDOT*Justin Lott, *District Engineer, GDOT District 1*

Justin Lott, District Preconstruction Engineer, GDOT District 1
Phillippa Lewis Moss, Director, Hall Area Transit
Phillip Beard, Chairman, City of Buford
James Nix, Mayor, Town of Clermont
Wade Dale, Mayor, City of Gillsville
Joe Thomas, Mayor, City of Lula
Kurt Ward, Mayor, Town of Braselton
Debbie Martin, Mayor, City of Hoschton

Technical Coordinating Committee

Voting

Adam Hazell, Planning Director, Georgia Mountains Regional Commission - Chair Gina Roy, Assistant County Manager, Jackson County - Vice-Chair Matt Tarver, Deputy Director of Engineering & Transportation, City of Gainesville BR White, City Manager, City of Oakwood Chris McCrary, Community Development Director, City of Flowery Branch Dan Branch, Public Safety Director, City of Buford Bill Nash, Public Works Director, Hall County Jennifer Scott, Town Manager, Town of Braselton Jennifer Kidd-Harrison, City Manager, City of Hoschton Phillippa Lewis Moss, Director, Hall Area Transit Mark Beatty, Planning Director, Northeast Georgia Regional Commission Pedro Ortiz, Transportation Planner, Georgia Department of Transportation

Non-Voting

Ann-Marie Day, Planning Team Leader Georgia Division, Federal Highway Administration Courtney Roberts, Community Planner, Federal Transit Administration
Justin Lott, District 1 Preconstruction Engineer, Georgia Department of Transportation
Mary Flatt, Transit Planner, GDOT
James Nix, Mayor, City of Clermont
Wade Dale, Mayor, City of Gillsville
Frank Etheridge, City Manager, City of Lula
Renee Gerrell, Chair, GHMPO Citizens Advisory Committee
Joseph Boyd, Director, GHMPO

Citizens Advisory Committee

Renee Gerrell - Hall County - Chair
Patrick O'Rouke - Hall County - Vice Chair
Bob Norton - Hall County
Nick Haynes - Hall County
Brent Hoffman - Hall County
Jesse Patton - Hall County
Kim Jackson - Hall County
Adrienne Rice - Hall County

Jason Cox - City of Gainesville
Berlinda Lipscomb - City of Gainesville
Beverly Nordholz - City of Gainesville
Vacant - City of Gainesville
Sammy Smith - City of Gainesville
Pat Jones - City of Oakwood
Tony Millwood - City of Oakwood
Rick Marzano - City of Flowery Branch
John Rudio - City of Flowery Branch
Billy Edwards - Town of Braselton
Jim Southard - Jackson County

GHMPO Staff

Joseph Boyd, *Director*

Introduction

Gainesville-Hall Planning Area

The Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization (GHMPO) was designated in early 2003 in order to ensure the federally required continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive (3-C) transportation planning process for the Gainesville Urbanized Area. GHMPO is responsible for conducting and maintaining the Gainesville-Hall Planning Area, which covers all of Hall County and a portion of western Jackson County. Figure 1 illustrates the boundary of GHMPO's planning area.

The Planning Process

There are three documents that form the foundation for the ongoing work of the GHMPO:

- Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP): The MTP is the heart of the MPO planning process, and is required to be updated at least every five years. The most recent GHMPO Metropolitan Transportation Plan was adopted on May 6, 2025. The Metropolitan Transportation Plan outlines planning objectives within the Gainesville-Hall planning area over the next thirty years.
- <u>Transportation Improvement Program (TIP):</u> The TIP is GHMPO's short to medium range planning document, which lists federally funded projects within the Gainesville-Hall Planning Area over the next three to four years. This document is amended at the request of the Georgia Department of Transportation to include new projects as funding is assigned to them during the TIP program years.
- <u>Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP):</u> The UPWP serves as the annual operating budget for GHMPO, and provides funding for equipment, planning activities, and planning studies throughout a single fiscal year.

Project Evaluation & Selection Process

Projects were evaluated based on their ability to reduce congestion or enhance safety, address community needs, and their specific support from the community. A process was developed in which a list of candidate roadway and transit projects was ranked in three separate but equal categories described below:

- <u>Technical Analysis:</u> Used to determine how successful projects are at making the transportation system safer and more efficient. This analysis utilized primarily travel demand model data.
- <u>Needs Categories:</u> Used to determine how successful projects are at addressing the different needs categories as ranked by the community.
- Community Support: Used to determine those projects that have specific support.

For more details on the methodology used, please see the Project Evaluation section on page 87 of the GHMPO 2055 MTP and Appendix E: Project Prioritization Methodology.

Plan Consistency

Each project in this TIP is taken from the financially-constrained project list on the MTP. The project worksheets in Appendix A list both a GHMPO and a GDOT project identification numbers, if available, for cross-reference between the MTP and the TIP.

Amendments and Administrative Modifications

The following actions are eligible as **Administrative Modifications** (minor revisions not requiring public review or a Policy Committee vote) to the STIP/TIP/MTP(LRTP):

- Revise a project description without changing the project scope, conflicting with the
 environmental document or changing the conformity finding in nonattainment and
 maintenance areas (less than 10% change in project termini). This change would not
 alter the original project intent.
- Adding a project phase that utilizes 100% nonfederal funding that does not impact fiscal constraint, federal share match, and air quality.
- Splitting or combining projects.
- Federal funding category change.
- Minor changes in expenditures for transit projects.
- Roadway project phases that are \$10 million or under may have an administrative modification cost increase of the amount to be authorized up to \$4 million or less. For project phases over \$10 million, administrative modifications may be conducted if the increase in cost is up to 40% of the original cost with a maximum increase of \$40 million if fiscal constraint is not impacted.
- Shifting projects within the 4 year STIP as long as the subsequent annual draft STIP was submitted prior to September 30.
- Projects may be funded from lump sum banks as long as they are consistent with category definitions.

An administrative modification can be processed in accordance with these procedures provided that:

- It does not affect the air quality conformity determination.
- It does not impact financial constraint.
- It does not require public review and comment.

The following actions are eligible as **Amendments** (revisions requiring public review and a Policy Committee vote) to the STIP/TIP/MTP(LRTP):

- Addition or deletion of a project, or the addition or deletion of a phase with federal funds to an existing project. This includes any new projects that are funded with Congressionally Directed Spending, Federal Land Access Program (FLAP) funds, or Title 23 or Title 49 discretionary funding.
- Roadway project phases that increase in cost over the thresholds described in the Administrative Modification section.
- Addition of an annual TIP.
- Major change to scope of work of an existing project. A major change would be any change that alters the original intent i.e. a change in the number of through lanes, a change in termini of more than 10 percent.
- Shifting projects within the 4-year STIP which require re-demonstration of fiscal constraint or when the subsequent annual draft STIP was not submitted prior to September 30.

Amendments of the STIP/TIP/LRTP will be developed in accordance with the provisions of 23 CFR Part 450. This requires public review and comment and responses to all comments, either individually of in summary form. For amendments in MPO areas, the public review process should be carried out in accordance with procedures outlined in the Participation Plan.




Figure 1: Gainesville-Hall Planning Area Boundary

Introduction Page 10

Transportation Improvement Program

Overview

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) shows the federally required four-year capital improvement program, akin to the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) but exclusively for projects within the GHMPO planning area. This TIP covers a four-year period from FY 2024 (beginning July 1, 2023) to FY 2027 (ending June 30, 2027) that is consistent with the GHMPO 2055 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). The TIP is updated at least once every four years and amended as frequently as necessary. The GHMPO Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) are responsible for reviewing the TIP and recommending it for adoption to the GHMPO board, or the Policy Committee. The public is also invited to review and comment on the proposed TIP.

In addition, the federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), requires that in the TIP development process, the MPO should consult with officials responsible for other types of planning activities that are affected by transportation in the area and governmental agencies and non-profit organizations that receive federal assistance from a source other than USDOT. GHMPO satisfies this requirement by inviting these agencies to participate in the TIP development process and by making the draft TIP available to them for review and comment. Through adoption by the Policy Committee, the proposed document becomes the official TIP for the Gainesville-Hall area. Project-by-project review and approval by the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) is also necessary before federal funds become available. It should be understood that the TIP is a flexible program which may be modified in accordance with the procedures outlined in the adopted Participation Plan by resolution of the Policy Committee if priorities, area goals, or funding levels change.

Fiscal Constraint

Federal planning statutes require that the TIP must be financially constrained, which means that the estimated cost for all transportation improvements cannot exceed the amount of reasonably expected revenues projected from identified federal, state, and local funding sources. This requirement ensures that the TIP is based upon realistic assumptions and can be implemented. The total cost of all projects taking place between fiscal years 2024 and 2027 is \$244,456,241, shown in the table on page 12. This does not exceed the available total of \$289,287,342 shown in the table on page 13, indicating financial constraint. The local funds identified on page 13 are provided by the local jurisdictions that the transportation projects are occurring in, further detailed in Appendix A.

Public Participation

The development of the TIP process involves a public outreach effort to identify community issues, concerns, and priorities. A legal ad was published in the Gainesville Times informing the public of the TIP with a 30-day public comment period for review of the document. The draft TIP was available for public review on the GHMPO website as well as in the form of a hard copy at the GHMPO office.

Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) Planning Factors

The transportation planning process must explicitly address the eleven planning factors included in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) and previously outlined in the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act), 23 CFR 450 Subpart C, 23 CFR 420 Subpart A, and 49 CFR Subtitle A, listed below:

- 1. Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
- 2. Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- 3. Increase the security of the surface transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- 4. Increase the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight;
- 5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, and improve quality of life;
- 6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- 7. Promote efficient system management and operation;
- 8. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system;
- 9. Improve transportation system resiliency and reliability;
- 10. Reduce (or mitigate) the storm water impacts of the surface transportation; and
- 11. Enhance travel and tourism.

The overall planning program is designed to comply with the requirements of IIJA, which was signed into law on November 15, 2021. It encourages MPOs to address the planning factors listed above when solving current and future transportation issues.

Format

The TIP document contains the following five spreadsheets showing a list of projects and the funding dollars:

FY 2024-2027 Projects

This spreadsheet contains a list of projects along with the dollar amounts scheduled for the fiscal years 2024-2027.

FY 2024-2027 Lump Sum Funding Categories

This spreadsheet reflects available funding dollars for the GHMPO area in lump sum categories for the fiscal years 2024-2027.

FY 2024-2027 Hall Area Transit Funding

This spreadsheet contains the Hall Area Transit funding categories along with the dollar amounts for the fiscal years 2024-2027.

This is followed by project worksheets in Appendix A that supply more detail on these projects that are funded from 2024-2027. Prefacing these worksheets is a project definitions page to explain various items that are not self-explanatory. It also includes project worksheets that supply more detail on these projects. Appendix B provides a detail breakdown of the various transit funding categories. Appendix C includes public comments received on the TIP. Appendix D and E provide a narrative on lump sum funding and lump sum funded projects. Appendix F lists all the MPO authorized projects. Appendix G provides a list of definitions,

abbreviations, funding and phase codes, and acronyms used within the text of this TIP. Appendix H provides the adopted resolutions relating to performance measures and targets related to the GHMPO planning area.

					FY 2024	4				FY 202	5				FY 2026	6		FY 2027				
GHMPO No.	GDOT No.	Project Name	SCP	PE	ROW	CST	UTL	SCP	PE	ROW	CST	UTL	SCP	PE	ROW	CST	UTL	SCP	PE	ROW	CST	UTL
GH-016	0003626	Sardis Road Connector from SR 60 to Sardis Road near Chestatee Road									\$61,268	\$2,193										
GH-018	0013626	Widening of State Route 369/Browns Bridge Road from State Route 53/McEver Road to Lake Lanier/Forsyth County Line						\$1,500														
GH-020A	122060	Widening of US 129/Cleveland Highway from Lakeview Street to south of Nopone Road (Phase I)														\$58,241	\$2,387					
GH-020B	0016862	Widening of US 129/Cleveland Highway from Brittany Court to south of Lakeview St (Phase II)												\$1,500								
GH-020C	0016863	Widening of US 129/Cleveland Highway from Limestone Pkwy to north of Brittany Court (Phase III)												\$1,500								
GH-023B	0015280	Widening of Spout Springs Road from Union Circle to south of State Route 347/Friendship Road (Phase II)													\$20,243							
GH-038	132610	Widening of US 129/Cleveland Highway north of Nopone Road to State Route 284/Clarks Bridge Road																		\$27,982		
GH-119	0015551	Bridge Replacement on State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road at Lake Lanier/Chattahoochee River			\$101																	
GH-121	0017392	Operational Improvements on Green Street/State Route 60 from Academy Street to Glenwood Drive								\$7,092											\$14,566	\$2,239
GH-124	0015702	SR 53/Dawsonville Hwy from Ahaluna Dr to Shallowford Road					\$70				\$4,639											
GH-130	0013762	Widening of State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road from State Route 400 in Lumpkin County to Yellow Creek Road in Hall County						\$225											\$1,077			
GH-133	0016074	SR 365/Cornelia Hwy at YMCA Drive/Lanier Tech Drive - New Interchange					\$717				\$22,075											
GH-141	0017735	Bridge Replacement on State Route 283/Holly Springs Road at Flat Creek								\$310												
GH-144	0019079	Bridge Replacement on State Route 284/Shoal Creek Road at Eubank Creek													\$325							
GH-145	0016921	SR 53 @ SR 369				\$750																
GH-147	0016089	Widening of State Route 211 from Pinot Noir Drive to State Route 347/Friendship Road														\$230					\$331	\$4
GH-148	0020370	NEVI - Charging Stations - I-985 between State Route 53 and State Route 369							\$30		\$1,250											
GH-149	NRT 24(04)	Chicopee Woods Area Park Commission									\$112											
GH-150	0020731	Widening of State Route 365 from I-985 to Belton Bridge Road in Lula												\$10,000								
GH-151	0020735	Widening of State Route 53/Winder Highway from State Route 124 to New Cut Road												\$1,500								
		TOTAL	\$ 0	\$0	\$101	\$750	\$786	\$1,725	\$30	\$7,402	\$89,345	\$2,193	\$0	\$14,500	\$20,568	\$58,470	\$2,387	\$0	\$1,077	\$27,982	\$14,896	\$2,244

FY 2024-2027	\$ Thousands
SCP	\$1,725
PE	\$15,607
ROW	\$56,053
CST	\$163,462
UTL	\$7,610
TOTAL	\$244,456

\$ Thousands 6/24/2025

FY 2024-2027 Funding Categories

GAINESVILLE TOTAL EXPECTED HIGHWAY STIP FUNDS (MATCHED) FY 2024 - FY 2027

FUND	CODE	LUMP DESCRIPTION		2024		2025		2026		2027		TOTAL
NHPP	Y001	NATIONAL HIGHWAY PERFORMANCE PROGRAM	\$	1,050,000.00	\$	4,639,170.00	\$	_	\$	-	\$	5,689,170.00
STBG	Y238	STBG - AREAS WITH POPULATION <5K	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-
STBG	Y236	STBG - AREAS WITH POPULATION <200K	\$		\$	1,810,000.00	\$		\$		\$	1,810,000.00
NEVI	Y134	NATIONAL ELECTRIC VEHICLE INFRASTRUCTURE FORMULA PROGRAM	\$		\$	30,000.00	\$	1,250,000.00	\$		\$	1,280,000.00
			+		Ľ.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	1,230,000.00	•		_	
NRT	NRT	NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS FUNDING PROGRAM	\$	-	\$	149,943.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	149,943.00
Carbon	Y606	CARBON REDUCTION (IIJA)	\$	453,696.00	\$	747,960.00	\$	753,195.00	\$	753,195.00	\$	2,708,046.00
BFP	Y110	BRIDGE FORMULA PROGRAM	\$	-	\$	-	\$	125,460.00	\$	-	\$	125,460.00
State	SGF	STATE GRANT FUNDS	\$	-	\$	10,000,000.00	\$	1,729,634.00	\$	-	\$	11,729,634.00
Local	LOC	LOCAL FUNDING	\$	-	\$	5,584,764.00	\$	20,243,000.00			\$	25,827,764.00
State	HB170	HB170	\$	941,951.00	\$	87,043,827.00	\$	63,627,563.00	\$	47,514,678.00	\$	199,128,019.00
Transit	5303	METROPOLITAN PLANNING	\$	133,579.00	\$	136,372.50	\$	145,105.00	\$	145,105.00	\$	560,161.50
Transit	5307	URBAN CAPITAL AND OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	3,490,918.00	\$	3,490,918.00	\$	3,490,918.00	\$	3,490,918.00	\$	13,963,672.00
Transit	5311	RURAL CAPITAL AND OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	150,989.00	\$	85,161.00	\$	85,161.00	\$	85,161.00	\$	406,472.00
NHPP	Y001	LIGHTING	\$	14,000.00	\$	14,000.00	\$	14,000.00	\$	14,000.00	\$	56,000.00
NHPP/STBG	Various	BRIDGE MAINTENANCE	\$	608,000.00	\$	608,000.00	\$	608,000.00	\$	608,000.00	\$	2,432,000.00
NHPP/STBG	Various	ROAD MAINTENANCE	\$	3,782,000.00	\$	3,377,000.00	\$	3,377,000.00	\$	3,377,000.00	\$	13,913,000.00
STBG	Y240	LOW IMPACT BRIDGES	\$	284,000.00	\$	284,000.00	\$	284,000.00	\$	284,000.00	\$	1,136,000.00
STBG	Y240	OPERATIONS	\$	162,000.00	\$	162,000.00	\$	162,000.00	\$	162,000.00	\$	648,000.00
STBG	Y240	TRAF CONTROL DEVICES	\$	405,000.00	\$	405,000.00	\$	405,000.00	\$	405,000.00	\$	1,620,000.00
STBG	Y240	RW PROTECTIVE BUY	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	80,000.00
HSIP	YS30	SAFETY	\$	1,351,000.00	\$	1,351,000.00	\$	1,351,000.00	\$	1,351,000.00	\$	5,404,000.00
RRX	YS40	RAILROAD CROSSINGS	\$	155,000.00	\$	155,000.00	\$	155,000.00	\$	155,000.00	\$	620,000.00
TOTAL			\$	13,002,133.00	\$	120,094,115.50	\$	97,826,036.00	\$	58,365,057.00	\$	289,287,341.50

FY 2024-2027 Hall Area Transit Funding

FY 2024-2027 Hall Area Transit Funding									
Description	2024 2025 2026			2027	Total				
Section 5303									
Metropolitan Planning	\$139,700.00	\$141,097.00	\$142,507.97	\$143,933.05	\$567,238.02				
Section 5307									
Urban Capital and Operating Expenses	\$4,685,442	\$4,432,296	\$3,976,619	\$4,016,386	\$17,110,743				

Appendix A: PROJECT WORKSHEETS





Project Name Sardis Road Connector from SR 60 to Sardis Road near Chestatee Road	GHMPO No. GH-016 GDOT No. 0003626			
Saluis Road Connector from SR 60 to Saluis Road flear Chestatee Road	County Hall	City Gainesville		
Local Rd. Name Ledan Road, Sardis Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC		
US/State Rd. Name n/a	Funding Source Split			

Project Description

Construction of a new 4 lane road from the intersection of SR 60/Thompson Bridge Road and SR 283/Mt. Vernon Road to the intersection of Sardis Road and Chestatee Road.

Improvement Type New Capacity Adding Yes


Project Intent

To allow for improved connections between SR 60/Thompson Bridge Road and SR 53/Dawsonville Highway.

Project Termini	From	SR 60/Thompson Bridge Road	Length (miles) 3.42						
То		Sardis Road/Chestatee Road	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes	4				
Bike / Ped Infrastructure		Sidewalks, bike lanes recommended							

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Band 1 (obligated) Open to Traffic Date 2027

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Local	\$1,300,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,300,000
Auth.	Construction	HB 170	\$0	\$57,876,552	\$0	\$0	\$57,876,552
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	L200	\$0	\$18,977	\$75,908	\$0	\$94,885
Auth.	Right-of-Way	Local	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,763,000	\$20,763,000
Auth.	Utilities	Local	\$2,193,280	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,193,280
Auth.	Construction	LOC	\$3,391,484	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,391,484
		TOTAL	\$6,884,764	\$57,895,529	\$75,908	\$20,763,000	\$85,619,201





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-018	GDOT No. 0013626		
Widening of State Route 369/Browns Bridge Road from State Route 53/McEver Road to Lake Lanier/Forsyth County Line	County Hall	City Gainesville		
Local Rd. Name Browns Bridge Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC		
US/State Rd. Name State Route 369	Funding Source GDOT			

Project Description

Widening of Browns Bridge Road from State Route 53/McEver Road to Lake Lanier/Forsyth County line.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


Project adds capacity and addresses safety issues. Logical termini may require widening to State Route 400 in Forsyth County with coordination from ARC.

Project Termini	From	State Route 53/McEver Road	Length (miles)	4.6			
	То	Lake Lanier/Forsyth County	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4			

Bike / Ped Infrastructure Sidewalks, Bike Lanes Recommended

Network Year 2040 MTP Project Band: Band 2 (2028-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2036

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2025	Scoping	Y236	\$0	\$300,000	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$1,500,000
2030	Pre-Engineering	HB 170	\$0	\$2,974,222	\$0	\$0	\$2,974,222
2032	Right-of-Way	HB 170	\$0	\$10,617,202	\$0	\$0	\$10,617,202
2034	Construction	HB 170	\$0	\$37,178,135	\$0	\$0	\$37,178,135
2034	Utilities	HB 170	\$0	\$9,010,998	\$0	\$0	\$9,010,998
		TOTAL	\$0	\$60,080,557	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$61,280,557





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-020A	GDOT No. 122060		
Widening of US 129/Cleveland Highway from Lakeview Street to south of Nopone Road (Phase I)	County Hall	City N/A		
Local Rd. Name Cleveland Highway	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC		
US/State Rd. Name US 129 / State Route 11	Funding Source GDOT			

Project Description

This project proposes to widen US129/State Route 11/Cleveland Highway from two to four lanes with a 20 foot raised median. The project limits are from Nopone Road to Lakeview Street. This is phase I of the widening along US129/State Route 11/Cleveland Highway.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


Create improved access and decrease congestion between Gainesville and North Hall.

Project Termini	From	Lakeview Street	Length (miles)	2.60
	То	South of Nopone Road	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4

Bike / Ped Infrastructure TBD

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Band 1 (2026-2027) Open to Traffic Date 2028

		SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Construction	HB 170	\$0	\$58,240,763	\$0	\$0	\$58,240,763
2026	Utilities	HB 170	\$0	\$2,386,800	\$0	\$0	\$2,386,800
Auth.	Right-of-Way	HB 170	\$0	\$18,390,000	\$0	\$0	\$18,390,000
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	33E	\$0	\$0	\$6,361,744	\$0	\$6,361,744
Auth.	Right-of-Way	RZ	\$0	\$0	\$646,700	\$0	\$646,700
Auth.	Right-of-Way	Z001	\$0	\$0	\$290,000	\$0	\$290,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$79,017,563	\$7,298,444	\$0	\$86,316,007





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-020B	GDOT No. 0016862	
Widening of US 129/Cleveland Highway from Brittany Court to south of Lakeview St (Phase II)	County Hall	City Gainesville	
Local Rd. Name Cleveland Highway	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name US 129 / State Route 11	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

This project proposes to widen US129/State Route 11/Cleveland Highway from two to four lanes with a 20 foot raised median. The project limits are from Brittany Court to south of Lakeview Street. This is phase II of the widening along US129/State Route 11/Cleveland Highway.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


Create improved access and decrease congestion between Gainesville and North Hall.

Project Termini	From	Brittany Court	Length (miles) 1.53		
	То	Lakeview Street	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure TBD

Network Year 2040 MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2026-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2032

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Pre-Engineering	HB170	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000
2028	Right-of-Way	HB170	\$0	\$15,055,253	\$0	\$0	\$15,055,253
2030	Utilities	HB170	\$0	\$5,181,593	\$0	\$0	\$5,181,593
2030	Construction	HB170	\$0	\$14,313,309	\$0	\$0	\$14,313,309
Auth.	Scoping	Y001	\$0	\$100,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$500,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$36,150,155	\$400,000	\$0	\$36,550,155





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-020C	GDOT No. 0016863 City Gainesville	
Widening of US 129/Cleveland Highway from Limestone Pkwy to north of Brittany Court (Phase III)	County Hall		
Local Rd. Name Cleveland Highway	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name US 129 / State Route 11	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

This project proposes to widen US129/State Route 11/Cleveland Highway from two to four lanes with a 20 foot raised median. The project limits are from Limestone Pkwy to north of Brittany Court. This is phase III of the widening along US129/State Route 11/Cleveland Highway.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


Create improved access and decrease congestion between Gainesville and North Hall.

Project Termini	From	Limestone Parkway	Length (miles) 2.06		
	То	Brittany Court	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure TBD

Network Year 2040 MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2026-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2032

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Pre-Engineering	HB170	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000
2028	Right-of-Way	HB170	\$0	\$11,066,557	\$0	\$0	\$11,066,557
2030	Utilities	HB170	\$0	\$3,950,124	\$0	\$0	\$3,950,124
2030	Construction	HB170	\$0	\$30,346,557	\$0	\$0	\$30,346,557
Auth.	Scoping	Y001	\$0	\$100,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$500,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$46,963,238	\$400,000	\$0	\$47,363,238





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-023B	GDOT No. 0015280	
Widening of Spout Springs Road from Union Circle to south of State Route 347/Friendship Road (Phase II)	County Hall	City Braselton	
Local Rd. Name Spout Springs Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name N/A	Funding Source Hall County		

Project Description

Project will widen Spout Springs Road from a two to four lane divided roadway with a 20 foot raised median along with sidewalks and a multiuse path. The project limits along Spout Springs Road are from Union Circle to south of State Route 347/Friendship Rd.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


Improve mobility and decrease congestion along an important east/west link in South Hall.

Project Termini	From	Union Circle	Length (miles) 3.39		
	То	South of SR 347/Friendship Road	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure 10-Foot Multiuse Path

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2026-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2030

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Right-of-Way	Local	\$20,243,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,243,000
2028	Construction	Local	\$47,811,243	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$47,811,243
2028	Utilities	Local	\$9,725,060	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,725,060
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Local	\$40,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40,000
Auth.	Right-of-Way	Local	\$12,570,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,570,000
		TOTAL	\$90,389,303	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,389,303





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-038	GDOT No. 132610 City Gainesville	
Widening of State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road from State Route 136/Price Road to Yellow Creek Road	County Hall		
Local Rd. Name Thompson Bridge Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 60	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

The widening of State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road from State Route 136/Price Road to Yellow Creek Road in Murrayville from two to four lanes.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


This widening will increase safety, decrease congestion, and allow for better access to Murrayville, northern Hall County, and State Route 400 in Lumpkin County.

Project Termini	From	SR 136/Price Road	Length (miles)	5.32	
	То	Yellow Creek Road	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes	4

Bike / Ped Infrastructure Bike lanes and sidewalks recommended.

Network Year 2040 MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2026-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2032

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	HB 170	\$0	\$5,739,120	\$0	\$0	\$5,739,120
2027	Right-of-Way	HB 170	\$0	\$27,981,933	\$0	\$0	\$27,981,933
2030	Construction	HB 170	\$0	\$87,947,287	\$0	\$0	\$87,947,287
2030	Utilities	HB 170	\$0	\$8,246,765	\$0	\$0	\$8,246,765
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Q24	\$0	\$0	\$8,737,154	\$0	\$8,737,154
		TOTAL	\$0	\$129,915,105	\$8,737,154	\$0	\$138,652,259





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-119	GDOT No. 0015551	
Bridge Replacement on State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road at Lake Lanier/Chattahoochee River	County Hall	City Gainesville	
Local Rd. Name Thompson Bridge Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 60	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

Bridge replacement on State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road at Lake Lanier/Chattahoochee River in Gainesville

Improvement Type Bridge Replacement Capacity Adding No

Project Intent


To replace aging bridge infrastructure.

Project Termini	From	N/A	Length (miles) N/A		
	То	N/A	Exist. Lanes 4	Future Lanes 4	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure N/A

Network Year N/A MTP Project Band: Band 2 (2028-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2029

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2028	Utilities	Y001	\$0	\$175,137	\$700,550	\$0	\$875,687
2028	Construction	Y001	\$0	\$10,415,522	\$41,662,086	\$0	\$52,077,608
Auth.	Scoping	Z001	\$0	\$100,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$500,000
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Z001	\$0	\$200,000	\$800,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
Auth.	Right-of-Way	Y001	\$0	\$210,000	\$840,000	\$0	\$1,050,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$11,100,659	\$44,402,636	\$0	\$55,503,295





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-121	GDOT No. 0017392	
Operational Improvements on Green Street/State Route 60 from Academy Street to Glenwood Drive	County Hall	City Gainesville	
Local Rd. Name Green Street	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 60	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

Maintain four travel lanes. Install a center raised median between Academy Street and Glenwood Drive. Improve stormwater and utility infrastructure.

Improvement Type Roadway Operations Capacity Adding No

Project Intent

Address existing traffic congestion and replace existing utilities.

Project Termini	From	Academy Street	Length (miles)	0.7	
	То	Glenwood Drive	Exist. Lanes 4	Future Lanes 4	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure Meandering sidewalk on both sides of Green Street.

Network Year N/A MTP Project Band: Band 1 (2026-2027) Open to Traffic Date 2028

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2025	Right-of-Way	HB170	\$0	\$7,092,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,092,000
2027	Construction	HB170	\$0	\$14,565,600	\$0	\$0	\$14,565,600
2027	Utilities	HB170	\$0	\$2,239,461	\$0	\$0	\$2,239,461
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	LOC/HB170	\$800,000	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$825,000
		TOTAL	\$800,000	\$23,922,061	\$0	\$0	\$24,722,061





Project Name Widening of SR 53/Dawsonville Hwy from Ahaluna Dr to Shallowford Rd.	GHMPO No. GH-124	GDOT No. 0015702	
Widefiling of SK 53/Dawsonville rtwy from Arialuna Dr to Shallowiold Ru.	County Hall	City Gainesville	
Local Rd. Name Dawsonville Hwy	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name SR 53	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

The proposed project will improve congestion for through traffic on Dawsonville Hwy/SR 53/SR 53 Connector by connecting existing right-turn auxiliary lanes between Ahaluna Drive and Shallowford Road and installing R-CUT medians.

Improvement Type Operations Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


Address existing traffic congestion and improve mobility.

Project Termini	From	Ahaluna Dr	Length (miles)	.81
	То	Shallowford Rd	Exist. Lanes 4	Future Lanes 4

Bike / Ped Infrastructure

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Band 1 (obligated) Open to Traffic Date 2026

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Q05	\$0	\$0	\$1,420,800	\$0	\$1,420,800
Auth.	Right-of-Way	Z001	\$0	\$102,000	\$408,000	\$0	\$510,000
Auth.	Utilities	Y001	\$0	\$13,950	\$55,799	\$0	\$69,749
Auth.	Construction	Y001	\$0	\$927,834	\$3,711,336	\$0	\$4,639,170
		TOTAL	\$0	\$1,043,784	\$5,595,935	\$0	\$6,639,719





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-130	GDOT No. 0013762	
Widening of State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road from State Route 400 in Lumpkin County to Yellow Creek Road in Hall County	County Hall, Lumpkin	City N/A	
Local Rd. Name Thompson Bridge Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 60	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

Widening of State Route 60/Thompson Bridge Road into Lumpkin County. Project costs reflect only GHMPO's portion (45%) of the total cost that lies within the MPO boundary.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


Increase mobility and mitigate congestion between Gainesville and Dahlonega.

Project Termini	From	State Route 400	Length (miles) 4.9		
	То	Yellow Creek Road	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure TBD

Network Year 2040 MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2026-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2035

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2027	Pre-Engineering	HB 170	\$0	\$2,392,739	\$0	\$0	\$2,392,739
2030	Right-of-Way	HB 170	\$0	\$27,331,897	\$0	\$0	\$27,331,897
2033	Utilities	Y236	\$0	\$107,917	\$431,667	\$0	\$539,584
2033	Construction	Y236	\$0	\$7,148,863	\$28,595,450	\$0	\$35,744,313
Auth.	Scoping	HB 170	\$0	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$37,206,416	\$29,027,118	\$0	\$66,233,534





Project Name Now Interchange at SR 265/Cornelia Highway and VMCA Drive/Legier Tech	GHMPO No. GH-133	GDOT No. 0016074	
New Interchange at SR 365/Cornelia Highway and YMCA Drive/Lanier Tech Drive	County Hall	City Gainesville	
Local Rd. Name YMCA Drive/Lanier Tech Drive	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name SR 365	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

New interchange with overpass over SR 365 with dual roundabouts on either end for on/off ramp access. The purpose of this project is to address congestion and safety issues at existing intersection with Lanier Technical College and the YMCA.

Improvement Type Interchange Capacity Adding No

Project Intent


Address existing congestion and improve mobility in the region.

To Exist. Lanes 0 Future Lanes 2	Project Termini	From	Length (miles) 0.80		
		То	Exist. Lanes 0	Future Lanes 2	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure Bike Lanes/Sidewalks on Bridge

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Band 1 (obligated) Open to Traffic Date 2027

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	HB 170	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000
Auth.	Utilities	HB 170	\$0	\$716,625	\$0	\$0	\$716,625
Auth.	Right-of-Way	HB 170	\$0	\$2,094,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,094,000
Auth.	Construction	HB 170	\$0	\$22,075,275	\$0	\$0	\$22,075,275
		TOTAL	\$0	\$26,385,900	\$0	\$0	\$26,385,900





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-141	GDOT No. 0017735	
Bridge Replacement on State Route 283/Holly Springs Road at Flat Creek	County Hall	City Clermont	
Local Rd. Name Holly Springs Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 283	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

Replace the existing bridge on State Route 283/Holly Springs Road at Flat Creek.

Improvement Type Bridge Replacement Capacity Adding No.


Project Intent

Replace aging bridge infrastructure.

Project Termini	From	Holly Springs Road, East of Flat Creek	Length (miles)	N/A
	То	Holly Springs Road, West of Flat Creek	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 2
Bike / Ped Infras	tructure	N/A		

Network Year N/A MTP Project Band: Band 2 (2028-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2029

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2028	Utilities	Z232	\$0	\$72,442	\$289,768	\$0	\$362,210
2028	Construction	Z232	\$0	\$782,865	\$3,131,460	\$0	\$3,914,325
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Y238	\$0	\$188,400	\$753,600	\$0	\$942,000
Auth.	Right-of-Way	Y236	\$0	\$62,000	\$248,000	\$0	\$310,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$1,105,707	\$4,422,828	\$0	\$5,528,535





Project Name Bridge Deplement on State Bouts 294/Sheel Creek Bood at Fuhank Creek	GHMPO No. GH-144	GDOT No. 0019079	
Bridge Replacement on State Route 284/Shoal Creek Road at Eubank Creek	County Hall	City Clermont	
Local Rd. Name Shoal Creek Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 284	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

Replace the existing bridge on State Route 284/Shoal Creek Road at Eubank Creek.

Improvement Type Bridge Replacement Capacity Adding No.

Project Intent


Replace aging bridge infrastructure.

Project Termini Fro		North of Eubank Creek	Length (miles) N/A		
	То	South of Eubank Creek	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 2	

Bike / Ped Infrastructure N/A

Network Year N/A MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2026-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2029

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Right-of-Way	Y110	\$0	\$25,092	\$100,368	\$0	\$125,460
2028	Construction	Y110	\$0	\$418,583	\$1,674,331	\$0	\$2,092,914
2028	Utilities	Y110	\$0	\$114,142	\$456,566	\$0	\$570,708
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Y240	\$0	\$160,000	\$640,000	\$0	\$800,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$717,817	\$2,871,266	\$0	\$3,589,083





Project Name Intersection Improvements at McEver Rd/SR 53 and Browns Bridge Rd/SR 369	GHMPO No. GH-145 GDOT No. 0016921		
intersection improvements at wicever Ru/SR 55 and browns bridge Ru/SR 569	County Hall	City Gainesville	
Local Rd. Name McEver Road/Browns Bridge Road	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name SR 53, SR 369	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

The proposed project is intended to improve turn queuing and delays during peak traffic. The project would provide northbound and southbound dual left turn lanes on SR 53/McEver Road.

Improvement Type Intersection Improvem Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


To improve intersection mobility

Project Termini	From	N of McEver Road on Browns Br. Rd.	Length (miles)	81
	То	S of McEver Road on Browns Br. Rd.	Exist. Lanes 4	Future Lanes 4

Bike / Ped Infrastructure N/A

Network Year MTP Project Band: Band 1 (obligated) Open to Traffic Date 2024

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
Auth.	Construction	HB170	\$0	\$750,051	\$0	\$0	\$750,051
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	HB170	\$0	\$575,000	\$0	\$0	\$575,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$1,325,051	\$0	\$0	\$1,325,051





Project Name	GHMPO No. GH-147	GDOT No. 0016089	
Widening of State Route 211 from Pinot Noir Drive to State Route 347/Friendship Road	County Multiple	City Braselton	
Local Rd. Name Old Winder Highway	GDOT District 1	RC Multiple	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 211	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

The proposed project will widen State Route 211 from north of Pinot Noir Drive to State Route 347/Friendship Road. Project costs shown amount to 1% of the total funding for the project to reflect the portion of the project that is within the GHMPO planning boundary.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


To improve mobility through Braselton along State Route 211.

Project Termini	From	Pinot Noir Drive	Length (miles)	1.6
	To State Route 347/Friendship Road		Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4

Bike / Ped Infrastructure 10' Multiuse Path

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Band 1 (2026-2027) Open to Traffic Date 2028

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Construction	SGF-C	\$229,634	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$229,634
2027	Utilities	HB 170	\$0	\$4,369	\$0	\$0	\$4,369
2027	Construction	HB 170	\$0	\$330,576	\$0	\$0	\$330,576
Auth.	Right-of-Way	HB 170	\$0	\$191,900	\$0	\$0	\$191,900
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	HB 170	\$0	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$200,000
		TOTAL	\$229,634	\$726,845	\$0	\$0	\$956,479





Project Name NEVI - Charging Stations	GHMPO No. GH-148	GDOT No. 0020370
NEVI - Charging Stations	County Hall	City Gainesville
Local Rd. Name N/A	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC
US/State Rd. Name N/A	Funding Source GDOT	

Project Description

Installation of several EV charging stations. Multiple chargers will be placed at a single location off of I-985, somewhere between State Route 53 and State Route 369. The location has not yet been determined.

Improvement Type EV Infrastructure Capacity Adding No.

Project Intent


To facilitate the charging of electric vehicles.

Project Termini From N/A	Length (miles) N/A	
To N/A	Exist. Lanes N/A	Future Lanes N/A

Bike / Ped Infrastructure N/A

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Band 1 (obligated) Open to Traffic Date N/A

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Construction	Y134	\$250,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,250,000
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	Y134	\$6,000	\$0	\$24,000	\$0	\$30,000
		TOTAL	\$256,000	\$0	\$1,024,000	\$0	\$1,280,000





Project Name Chicago Woods Area Bark Commission	GHMPO No. GH-149	GDOT No. NRT 24(04)	
Chicopee Woods Area Park Commission	County Hall	City Gainesville	
Local Rd. Name N/A	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name N/A	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

Funding from the National Recreational Trails Funding Program for improvements in Chicopee Woods.

Improvement Type Trails Capacity Adding No


Project Intent

Project Termini From N/A	Length (miles) N/A	
To N/A	Exist. Lanes N/A	Future Lanes N/A

Bike / Ped Infrastructure N/A

Network Year 2030 MTP Project Band: Band 1 (obligated) Open to Traffic Date N/A

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2025	Construction	NRT	\$37,486	\$0	\$112,457	\$0	\$149,943
		TOTAL	\$37,486	\$0	\$112,457	\$0	\$149,943





Project Name Widening of State Route 365 from I-985 to Belton Bridge Road in Lula	GHMPO No. GH-150	GDOT No. 0020731	
Widefiling of State Route 365 from 1-965 to Belton Bridge Road in Edia	County Hall	City Gainesville/Lula	
Local Rd. Name Cornelia Highway	GDOT District 1	RC GMRC	
US/State Rd. Name State Route 365	Funding Source GDOT		

Project Description

Widening of State Route 365 from four to six lanes from I-985 to Belton Bridge Road in Lula. Additionally, project will convert existing atgrade intersections to limited access interchanges at White Sulphur Road, Ramsey Road, and State Route 52 in Lula. Project will also construct overpasses at Kubota Way and Cagle Road.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


To improve mobility, increase safety, and decrease congestion by widening State Route 365 and converting various intersections to interchanges.

Project Termini From	I-985	Length (miles)	9.4	
То	Belton Bridge Road	Exist. Lanes 4	Future Lanes	6

Bike / Ped Infrastructure N/A

Network Year 2040 MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2028-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2038

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2029	Right-of-Way	Federal/State	\$0	\$23,184,796	\$92,739,183	\$0	\$115,923,979
2035	Utilities	Federal/State	\$0	\$3,107,241	\$12,428,962	\$0	\$15,536,203
2035	Construction	Federal/State	\$0	\$29,160,259	\$116,641,034	\$0	\$145,801,293
Auth.	Pre-Engineering	SGF	\$0	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$10,000,000
		TOTAL	\$0	\$65,452,296	\$221,809,180	\$0	\$287,261,476





Project Name Widesing of State Pouts 53/Window Highway from State Pouts 43/4 to New Cut	GHMPO No. GH-151	GDOT No. 0020735
Widening of State Route 53/Winder Highway from State Route 124 to New Cut Road	County Jackson	City Braselton
Local Rd. Name Green Street/Winder Highway	GDOT District 1	RC NEGRC
US/State Rd. Name State Route 53	Funding Source GDOT	

Project Description

Widening of State Route 53 from two to four lanes from State Route 124 to New Cut Road in Braselton.

Improvement Type Widening Capacity Adding Yes

Project Intent


To improve mobility by widening State Route 53 in Braselton.

Project Termini Fror	n State Route 124	Length (miles) 1.5	
То	New Cut Road	Exist. Lanes 2	Future Lanes 4

Bike / Ped Infrastructure TBD

Network Year 2040 MTP Project Band: Bands 1-2 (2026-2041) Open to Traffic Date 2032

STATUS	PHASE	SOURCE	LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL
2026	Pre-Engineering	SGF	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000
2028	Right-of-Way	Federal/State	\$0	\$1,308,387	\$5,233,550	\$0	\$6,541,937
2030	Utilities	Federal/State	\$0	\$217,029	\$868,115	\$0	\$1,085,144
2030	Construction	Federal/State	\$0	\$2,283,344	\$9,133,375	\$0	\$11,416,719
		TOTAL	\$0	\$5,308,760	\$15,235,040	\$0	\$20,543,800



Appendix B:

TRANSIT FUNDING



							Hall <i>i</i>	Area Tı	ransit F	leet In	vento	ry as	of 12	-19-23							
	Shop#	DOT #	System	Model Year	Make	Description	VIN Number	Acquisiti on Date	Cost	Federal Percenta ge	Grant Number	Capa city	Locati on	Dispositi on Action (Active or In- Active)	If In- Active (Surplu s, Spare)	If Active, Conditio n (Excellen t, Good, Fair, Poor)	Vested Title	Useful Life	Vehic le Lengt h (feet)	Delivery Date	Tag Numbe r
leys	5242			2019		Villager 208 Gasoline FE	1F66F5DN2L0A 04893	11/1/202 0	\$383,995. 00	80%	GA-90- X309	24A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active		EXCELL ENT	City of Gainesvi Ile	25 years	31ft 6 in	11/1/202 0	GV514 3P
Trolleys	5243			2019		Villager 208 Gasoline FE	1F66F5DN0L0A 04892	11/1/202 0	\$383,995. 00	80%	GA- 2017- 034-01- 00	24A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active		EXCELL ENT	City of Gainesvi Ile	25 years	31ft 6 in	11/1/202 0	GV514 4P
OP's	SUV- 4526		Support	2006	Chevrol et	Chevrolet- Trailblazer	1GNDS13S8622 41047	1/4/2006	\$20,814	80%	GA-90- 0209	5	Hall Area Transit	Active		Good	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles		1/4/2006	GV 66907
ō	Op's Truck- 4951		Support	2015	Ford	FORD F150	1FTEW1EF7FF B70997	6/23/201 5	\$30,813	80%	GA-96- x012	5	Hall Area Transit	Active		Good	City of Gainesvi Ile	years/100, 000 miles		6/23/201 5	GV 1637F
noq	5244 EMMA		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG6LE 111327	11/16/20 20	\$68,702	100%	2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	11/16/20 20	GV514 2P
vehicles with carbon	5247 LUNA		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG7LE 111336	11/16/20 20	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	11/16/20 20	GV513 9P
hicles	5248 MILO		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG92E 111337	11/16/20 20	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	11/16/20 20	GV513 8P
Replace ve	5254 BELLA		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG1LE 111347	12/31/20 20	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	12/31/20 20	GV642 1P
Rep	TYLER 5229		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG5LE 111349	1/25/202 1	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	1/25/202 1	GV897 3P
	5245 JAZZ		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAGXLE 111329	11/16/20 20	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	11/16/20 20	GV514 1P
	5246 LUCAS		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAGILE1 11333	11/16/20 20	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	11/16/20 20	GV514 0P
	5249 AMARI		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG0LE 111341	11/16/20 20	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	11/16/20 20	GV513 7P
WEGO	5255 ALONZ O		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG6LE 111344	12/31/20 20	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	12/31/20 20	GV642 2P
	TASHA 5282		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 1500 Conversion	3C6TRVAG6KE 539025	1/25/202 1	\$68,702	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	17ft 9in	1/25/202 1	GV931 2P
	MAYA 5264		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6URVUG4LE 113979	2/19/202	\$79,832.0 0	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	19ft 8in	2/19/202	GV898 1P
	SOFIA 5263		WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6URVUG4LE 113948	2/19/202 1	\$79,832.0 0	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active		Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	19ft 8in	2/19/202 1	GV898 2P

Appendix B: Transit Funding

JUDY 5265	WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6URVUG6LE 113949	2/19/202 1	\$79,832.0 0	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	19ft 8in	2/19/202 1	GV898 0P
FELIX 5266	WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6URVUG9LE 113931	2/19/202 1	\$79,832.0 0	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	19ft 8in	2/19/202 1	GV898 3P
OTIS 5267	WEGO	2020	Dodge	Dodge Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6URVUGXLE 113940	2/22/202 1	\$79,832.0 0	100%	GA- 2020- 007-01- 00	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	19ft 8in	2/22/202 1	GV898 4P
Rudy 5181	WEGO	2019	Ford	Candidate II	1FDES6PM2KK A12584	9/23/202	\$67,379.0 0	80%	GA-90- X309	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	18ft	9/23/201 9	GV637 9N
lvey 5182	WEGO	2019	Ford	Candidate II	1FDES6PM4KK A12585	9/23/202 1	\$67,379.0 0	80%	GA-90- X309	8A/2L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	18ft	9/23/201 9	GV638 0N
RAFI 5360	WEGO	2022	BraunA bility	Voyager Rear Entry	2C4RC1CGXNR 171980	3/28/202 3	\$75,345.0 0	100%	FY23 Trust Fund T00857 7	4A/1L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	16.98f t	3/28/202 3	GV868 0R
WILLA 5357	WEGO	2022	BraunA bility	Voyager Rear Entry	2C4RC1CGXNR 171719	3/27/202 3	\$75,345.0 0	100%	FY23 Trust Fund T00857 7	4A/1L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	16.98f t	3/27/202 3	GV867 9R
JUNO 5358	WEGO	2022	BraunA bility	Voyager Rear Entry	2C4RC1CGXNR 172112	3/29/202 3	\$75,345.0 0	100%	FY23 Trust Fund T00857 7	4A/1L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	16.98f t	3/29/202 3	GV868 2R
ZANE 5359	WEGO	2022	BraunA bility	Voyager Rear Entry	2C4RC1CG1NR 204543	3/29/202 3	\$75,345.0 0	100%	FY23 Trust Fund T00857 7	4A/1L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	16.98f t	3/29/202 3	GV868 1R
RIVER 5356	WEGO	2022	BraunA bility	Voyager Rear Entry	2C4RC1CG0NR 171924	3/27/202 3	\$75,345.0 0	100%	FY23 Trust Fund T00857 7	4A/1L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	16.98f t	3/27/202 3	GV867 8R
JOY 5413	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG1PE 533236	7/19/202 3	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY23 5307 Capital T00684 4	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	8/9/2023	GV237 0S
PEAC E 5412	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG1PE 533270	7/19/202 3	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY23 5307 Capital T00684 4	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	8/9/2023	GV236 9S
LOVE 5411	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG2PE 536825	7/19/202 3	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY23 5307 Capital T00684 4	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	8/15/202 3	GV236 6S
HAPP Y 5421	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG3PE 533285	7/19/202 3	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY23 5307 Capital T00684 4	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	9/7/2023	GV698 8S
5430	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG1PE 5368850	11/3/202	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY24 5307 Capital T00705 5	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	12/6/202	GV809 0S
5433	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG4PE 533280	11/3/202	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY24 5307 Capital T00705 5	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	12/6/202	GV808 7S

5429	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG4PE 536857	11/3/202 3	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY24 5307 Capital T00705 5	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	12/6/202 3	GV809 1S
5431	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG7PE 536822	11/3/202 3	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY24 5307 Capital T00705 5	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	12/4/202 3	GV808 9S
5432	WEGO	2023	BraunA bility	Ram Promaster 3500 Conversion	3C6MRVUG3PE 533271	11/3/202 3	\$155,794. 00	80%	FY24 5307 Capital T00705 5	10A/2 L	Hall Area Transit	Active	Excellent	City of Gainesvi Ile	4 years/100, 000 miles	20.88f t	12/4/202 3	GV808 8S

FY 2024 – 2027 Section 5307 Funding

Section 5307	' (Urban Capital E	Expenses)			
Description	2024	2025	2026	2027	Total
Replacement Vehicles	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ADA Vehicles	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Support Vehicles	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expansion Vehicles	\$800,000	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,050,000
Fareboxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Passenger Shelters	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Passenger Benches	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Parking Lot & Bldg. Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hydraulic Staking System	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	\$250,000
PROJECT COST	\$800,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,300,000
FEDERAL	\$640,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,040,000
STATE	\$80,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$130,000
LOCAL	\$80,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$130,000

	Section	5307 (Urban	Operating Ex	penses)	
Description	2024	2025	2026	2027	Total
FY					
Operations	\$3,885,442	\$4,079,714	\$4,283,700	\$4,497,885	\$16,746,741
PROJECT					
COST	\$3,885,442	\$4,079,714	\$4,283,700	\$4,497,885	\$16,746,741
FEDERAL	\$1,942,721	\$2,039,857	\$2,141,850	\$2,248,942	\$8,373,370
STATE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LOCAL	\$1,942,721	\$2,039,857	\$2,141,850	\$2,248,942	\$8,373,370

Capital Purchases

FY 2024 - 2027 Urban Capital Purchases											
Description	2024	2025	2026	2027	Total						
Buy <30 Ft Bus For Expansion	\$800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$800,000						
Rehab/renovate Administrative Facility	\$190,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$190,000						
Operating Assistance up to 50% Federal Share	\$2,470,799	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,470,799						
5 Braunablity Voyager Vans	\$376,725	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$376,725						
2 Additional Trollies	\$336,268	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$336,268						
Preventative Maintenance	\$160,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160,000						
PROJECT COST	\$4,333,792	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,333,792						
FEDERAL	\$3,467,034	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,467,034						
STATE	\$433,379	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$433,379						
LOCAL	\$433,379	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$433,379						

Appendix C:

PUBLIC COMMENT



2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The public participation effort for the 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was uniquely designed to obtain local input through stakeholder discussions. Building on the experience of previous success in public outreach efforts, GHMPO developed a process consistent with the adopted Participation Plan to:

- **Involve** the stakeholders with early opportunities for participating in the decision-making process, particularly minority and low-income persons;
- Listen to the concerns and issues of the stakeholders living in the community;
- *Inform* the stakeholders in a timely manner of progress and recommendations;
- Learn from the stakeholders ideas for solutions to transportation problems;
- Consult with stakeholders and provide reasonable opportunity to comment; and
- **Develop** an effective outreach process that includes an integrated feedback process for evaluation and improvement.

Throughout the TIP update process, opportunities for citizen input through staff, elected officials, and stakeholders have not only been encouraged but also institutionalized. The 2024-2027 TIP went through the minimum required public comment period, per the Participation Plan, before it was adopted by the GHMPO Policy Committee.

Appendix D:

LUMP SUM NARRATIVE



Lump Sum Funding

A portion of the STIP funding is set aside for certain groups of projects that do not substantially increase roadway capacity. The Lump Sum projects program is intended to give the Department and MPOs flexibility to address projects of an immediate concern while fulfilling the requirements of the STIP. Funds are set up in lump sum banks to undertake improvements that emerge and are developed after the STIP is approved. These lump sum banks are listed for each year for managing and accounting for the funding. They can be found in the statewide or "All Counties" section of the STIP. Lump sum banks are shown in the STIP with the words "Lump Sum" in the project description and contain an amount of funding for each year. Individual projects are programmed, and funds are drawn from these lump sums during the year. The individual projects may include work at one or several locations for letting and accounting purposes. Listed below are these groups and information about them. Except for rights-of-way protective buying, the total available funds are shown as construction for easy accounting, but preliminary engineering and rights-of-way may be drawn from this amount when required in that category.

Group: Transportation Alternative Program (TAP)

This group is for transportation alternatives, including on- and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, infrastructure for improving non-driver access to public transportation and enhanced mobility, community improvement activities, environmental mitigation, recreational trails and safe routes to school.

State DOTs and MPOs are not eligible entities to receive TAP funds as defined under 23 U.S.C. 133(h)(4)(B) and therefore are not eligible project sponsors. However, State DOTs and MPOs may partner with an eligible entity project sponsor to carry out a project. In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 133(h)(4), project selection for this program is achieved through a competitive process administered by Georgia DOT.

Group: Maintenance

This group is broken into two programs: Bridge Maintenance and Maintenance.

- Bridge Maintenance consists of Preservation (polymer overlays of bridge decks, joint replacements, debris removal and painting of the steel super and sub structure components) & Rehabilitation (bridge deck rehabilitation, spall repairs, strengthening, pile encasements, reconstruction of structural members, etc.).
- Maintenance consists of resurfacing, pavement preservation, pavement rehabilitation, median work, impact attenuators, signing, fencing, pavement markings, landscaping, rest areas, walls, guardrail and shoulder work.

Group: Lighting

This group is used to assist local municipalities with installing new or upgraded lighting systems and material reimbursement for repairs. This includes lighting to mitigate safety issues related to geometry or operation (e.g., high crash rates), security concerns, or planning for economic development and/or increased pedestrian usage.

Group: Rights of Way, Protective Buying, and Hardship Acquisitions

This group is for the acquisition of parcel(s) of rights-of-way (ROW) for future projects that are in jeopardy of development and for hardship acquisition. Qualifying projects are those that have preliminary engineering (PE) underway or have a PE, ROW or construction phase in the STIP.

Group: Safety

This group is broken into two programs: Railroad & Safety Improvements

- The Railroad program consists of railroad protection device projects & hazard elimination projects which includes the installation of new or upgraded train activated warning, signing and pavement marking upgrades, elimination of redundant or unnecessary crossings, vertical and horizontal geometric improvements, sight distance improvements, lighting, channelization and surface widening projects.
- Safety Improvements include cable barriers, guardrail, intersection improvements, pavement markings, roundabouts, rumble strips, safety equipment upgrades, signals, signing and turning lanes.

Group: Operations

This group is broken into two programs: Operational Improvements & Signal Upgrades.

- The Operational Improvement program consists of intersection improvements, turning lanes, ramp exit & interchange improvements, innovative intersection improvements like Diverging Diamond Intersections, Displaced Left Turn lanes, and Continuous Flow Intersections.
- The Signal Upgrades program consists of signal designs, specifications, upgrades, signal operations, maintenance and signal asset replacements.

Group: Low Impact Bridges

Candidates for this process will require minimal permits, minor utility impacts, minimal FEMA coordination, no on-site detour, and meet other low-impact characteristics as identified in this document. Projects that ultimately qualify for this expedited process also must not exceed established environmental impact thresholds and thus qualify as a Categorical Exclusion (CE) determinations in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The Program has been created with three major principles in mind – safety, stewardship and streamlining.

- The safety of the traveling public is of paramount importance. It is the intent of this program to reduce risk associated with structurally deficient, scour critical, temporarily shored, or fracture critical structures.
- Second only to safety, the program will foster stewardship of Georgia's environmental
 and financial resources. Projects developed under the Program will seek to minimize the
 impact to the natural environment while providing long-term cost-effective engineering
 solutions.
- The Program will result in accelerated, streamlined delivery of all phases of the bridge replacement including, planning, design, environmental approval and construction.

Appendix E: MPO LUMP SUM PROJECTS



MPO Lump Sum Projects – Gainesville

Hall

					PE		ROW		CST		UTL
PROJ	PROJ NO.	TIP NO.	DESCRIPTION								
0013171			PEDESTRIAN UPGRADES @ 13 LOCS IN HALL COUNTY - VRU	PE	AUTHORIZED	ROW	PRECST	CST	PRECST	UTL	PRECST
0015702			SR 53 FROM CS 921/AHALUNA DRIVE TO CS 966/SHALLOWFORD ROAD	PE	AUTHORIZED	ROW	AUTHORIZED	CST	PRECST	UTL	PRECST
0015918			SR 60 @ CS 898/ACADEMY STREET	PE	AUTHORIZED	ROW	PRECST	CST	PRECST	UTL	PRECST
0016118			SR 369 FROM SR 53 TO SR 53 CONN - VRU	PE	AUTHORIZED			CST	PRECST	UTL	PRECST
0016869			CS 705/CHATTAHOOCHEE STREET @ NS #717810B IN FLOWERY BRANCH					CST	PRECST		
0018042			OFF-SYSTEM SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS @ 4 LOCS IN HALL COUNTY	PE	AUTHORIZED			CST	AUTHORIZED		
0018364			SR 369 @ I-985	PE	AUTHORIZED						
0019644			SR 60 @ CALVARY CHURCH ROAD/OLD CANDLER ROAD	PE	AUTHORIZED						
M006179			SR 11/US 129 FROM SR 323 TO CS 719/MONROE DRIVE								
M006182			SR 13 FROM SR 347 TO 0.10 MI N OF CS 630/CANTRELL ROAD								

Jackson

					PE	ROW		CST			UTL
PROJ	PROJ NO.	TIP NO.	DESCRIPTION								
0015391			CS 751/CR 1317/DAVIS ST @ 1 LOC &CS 753/PINECREST LN @ 1 LOC	PE	AUTHORIZED	ROW	AUTHORIZED				
0016166			SR 124 @ SR 60 & CR 17/SAM FREEMAN ROAD	PE	AUTHORIZED	ROW	PRECST	CST	PRECST	UTL	PRECST
0019223			CR 147/JACKSON TRAIL ROAD OFF- SYSTEM SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	PE	AUTHORIZED			CST	PRECST		
0019862			SR 53 FROM BRASELTON CITY LIMITS TO TWIN LAKES BLVD					CST	PRECST		

Appendix F: MPO AUTHORIZED PROJECTS



MPO Authorized Projects - Gainesville

Barrow

PROJ	PROJ	TIP NO.	DESCRIPTION	Phase Status		Program Year	MPO	Latest Cost Estimated Total	% in	Amount
M006087	NO.	NO.	SR 53 @ MULBERRY RIVER -	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2020	Gainesville	\$598,116.08	50	\$299,058.04
			BRIDGE REHABILITATION		MPE	2020	Gainesville	\$2,135.18	50	\$1,067.59

Hall

PROJ	PROJ NO.	TIP NO.	DESCRIPTION	Phase Status	Phase Code	Program Year	МРО	Latest Cost Estimated Total	% in MPO	Amount
0003626	STP00- 0003- 00(626)	GH- 016	SARDIS RD CONN FM SR 60 TO SARDIS RD NEAR CHESTATEE RD	AUTHORIZED	PE ROW	2023	Gainesville Gainesville	\$18,000.00 \$20,763,000.00	100	\$18,000.00 \$20,763,000.00
0007170	CSBRG- 0007- 00(170)	GH- 056	SR 136 @ CHESTATEE RIVER 8.3 MI SOUTHEAST OF DAWSONVILLE	AUTHORIZED	CST	2021 2020	Gainesville Gainesville	\$9,422,741.35 \$1,330,000.00	50 50	\$4,711,370.68 \$665,000.00
0007233	CSSTP- 0007- 00(233)	GH- 025	SR 211 FROM SR 347 TO SR 53	AUTHORIZED	PE SCP	2023 2022	Gainesville Gainesville	\$1,523,365.44 \$700,000.00	100 100	\$1,523,365.44 \$700,000.00
0013922		GH- 116	I-985 @ CS 991/ELACHEE ROAD IN GAINESVILLE	AUTHORIZED	CST	2022 2020	Gainesville Gainesville	\$7,235,385.73 \$180,000.00	100 100	\$7,235,385.73 \$180,000.00
0013980			OVERSIGHT SERVICES FOR GAINESVILLE MPO CMAQ PROJECTS-FY 2020	AUTHORIZED	PE	2020	Gainesville	\$70,000.00	100	\$70,000.00
0013981			OVERSIGHT SERVICES FOR GAINESVILLE MPO CMAQ PROJECTS-FY 2021	AUTHORIZED	PE	2021	Gainesville	\$70,000.00	100	\$70,000.00
0013982			OVERSIGHT SERVICES FOR GAINESVILLE MPO CMAQ PROJECTS-FY 2022	AUTHORIZED	PE	2022	Gainesville	\$70,000.00	100	\$70,000.00
0013983			OVERSIGHT SERVICES FOR GAINESVILLE MPO CMAQ PROJECTS-FY 2023	AUTHORIZED	PE	2023	Gainesville	\$70,000.00	100	\$70,000.00
0015280			SPOUT SPRINGS ROAD FROM UNION CIRCLE TO S OF SR 347-PHASE II	AUTHORIZED	ROW	2023	Gainesville	\$12,570,000.00	100	\$12,570,000.00
0015551		GH- 119	SR 60 @ CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER IN GAINESVILLE	AUTHORIZED	PE	2020	Gainesville	\$2,726,468.78	100	\$2,726,468.78
0015702			SR 53 FROM CS 921/AHALUNA DRIVE TO CS 966/SHALLOWFORD ROAD	AUTHORIZED	ROW	2021	Gainesville	\$510,000.00	100	\$510,000.00
0016353				AUTHORIZED	CST	2021	Gainesville	\$860,328.87	100	\$860,328.87

			SR 365/US 23 FROM SR 52 TO SR 369		PE	2020	Gainesville	\$3,122.13	100	\$3,122.13
0016354			SR 365/US 23 FROM SR 52	AUTHORIZED	CST	2021	Gainesville	\$996,883.85	52	\$518,379.60
			TO SR 384		PE	2020	Gainesville	\$300,000.00	52	\$156,000.00
0016557			PL GAINESVILLE SFY 2020 UPWP	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2020	Gainesville	\$206,712.41	100	\$206,712.41
0016616			SR 13 @ I-985 & CR	AUTHORIZED	CST	2021	Gainesville	\$832,237.67	100	\$832,237.67
			3514/THURMON TANNER PKWY		PE	2020	Gainesville	\$350,000.00	100	\$350,000.00
0016862			SR 11/US 129 FM BRITTANY COURT TO S OF LAKEVIEW ST-PHASE II	AUTHORIZED	SCP	2022	Gainesville	\$500,000.00	100	\$500,000.00
0016863			SR 11/US 129 FM LIMESTONE PKWY TO N OF BRITTANY COURT-PH III	AUTHORIZED	SCP	2022	Gainesville	\$500,000.00	100	\$500,000.00
0016921			SR 53 @ SR 369	AUTHORIZED	PE	2020	Gainesville	\$575,000.00	100	\$575,000.00
0017119			SR 60 @ CR 757/ROY PARKS ROAD	AUTHORIZED	CST	2020	Gainesville	\$173,369.57	100	\$173,369.57
0017159			PL GAINESVILLE - FY 2021	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2021	Gainesville	\$186,445.65	100	\$186,445.65
0017392		GH-	SR 11BU/SR 60 FROM CS	AUTHORIZED	PE	2021	Gainesville	\$25,000.00	100	\$25,000.00
		131	624/ACADEMY ST TO CS 548/GLENWOOD DR			2022	Gainesville	\$800,000.00	100	\$800,000.00
0017735			SR 283 @ FLAT CREEK	AUTHORIZED	PE	2023	Gainesville	\$942,000.00	100	\$942,000.00
0017899			PL GAINESVILLE - FY 2022	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2022	Gainesville	\$251,386.02	100	\$251,386.02
0018013			SR 11BU/SR 60 @ SR 11/SR 369	AUTHORIZED	CST	2022	Gainesville	\$75,600.00	100	\$75,600.00
0018042			OFF-SYSTEM SAFETY	AUTHORIZED	CST	2023	Gainesville	\$1,044,382.72	100	\$1,044,382.72
			IMPROVEMENTS @ 4 LOCS IN HALL COUNTY		PE	2022	Gainesville	\$8,000.00	100	\$8,000.00
0018276			SR 13 @ CR 3413/MEMORIAL PARK DRIVE	AUTHORIZED	CST	2023	Gainesville	\$73,000.00	100	\$73,000.00
0018364			SR 369 @ I-985	AUTHORIZED	PE	2022	Gainesville	\$200,000.00	100	\$200,000.00
0018421			PL GAINESVILLE - FY 2023	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2023	Gainesville	\$305,749.20	100	\$305,749.20
0019079			SR 284 @ EUBANK CREEK	AUTHORIZED	PE	2023	Gainesville	\$800,000.00	100	\$800,000.00
0019305			PL GAINESVILLE - SAFE & ACCESSIBLE TRANS OPTIONS - FY 2023	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2023	Gainesville	\$7,421.04	100	\$7,421.04
0019644			SR 60 @ CALVARY CHURCH ROAD/OLD CANDLER ROAD	AUTHORIZED	PE	2023	Gainesville	\$1,072,727.00	100	\$1,072,727.00
122060-	STP00- 0002- 06(048)	GH- 020	SR 11/US 129 FROM LAKEVIEW STREET TO S OF NOPONE RD-PHASE I	AUTHORIZED	ROW	2023	Gainesville	\$18,390,000.00	100	\$18,390,000.00

132610-	STP00- 0198- 01(020)	GH- 038	SR 60 FROM S OF SR 136 TO N OF CR 158/YELLOW CREEK ROAD	AUTHORIZED	PE	2023	Gainesville	\$5,739,120.32	100	\$5,739,120.32
M005744			SR 52 FROM W OF CR 932/JULIAN BAUGH RD TO CS 620/SHORT ST	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2021	Gainesville	\$3,922,375.31	63	\$2,471,096.45
M006020			I-985 SB & NB @ SR 53	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2020	Gainesville	\$1,604,630.93	100	\$1,604,630.93
			CONN - BRIDGE REHAB		MPE	2020	Gainesville	\$35,000.00	100	\$35,000.00
M006268			SR 53 FROM SR 53 CONN TO SR 369	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2022	Gainesville	\$1,785,274.33	100	\$1,785,274.33
M006269			SR 53 FM CS 656/CEDAR RIDGE DR TO S OF SR 211/TANNER MILL RD	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2023	Gainesville	\$3,411,889.51	100	\$3,411,889.51
M006270			SR 53 FROM CS 630/MCEVER ROAD TO CS 656/CEDAR RIDGE DRIVE	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2022	Gainesville	\$2,829,008.74	100	\$2,829,008.74
M006318			SR 11/US 129 FROM S OF JIM HOOD RD/NOPONE RD TO S OF SR 283	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2023	Gainesville	\$3,046,084.92	100	\$3,046,084.92
M006322			SR 60 FROM SR 332 TO I- 985	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2023	Gainesville	\$3,862,842.63	100	\$3,862,842.63
S015278			extend Rht turn lane on SR369 at inters. with EE Butler Pkwy	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2020	Gainesville	\$161,222.00	100	\$161,222.00
S015280			EEE ADDL LMIG ROUNDABOUT @ UNG CAMPUS @MATHIS DR & CAMPUS DR	AUTHORIZED	PR	2020	Gainesville	\$75,000.00	100	\$75,000.00
S015374			CONSTRUCT RCUT ON SR 347 AT REUNION WAY/NAPA RIDGE ROAD	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2020	Gainesville	\$82,256.03	100	\$82,256.03
S015393			Ped crossing on SR 11/Park Hill Drive just S. of Roper Hill	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2020	Gainesville	\$64,673.39	100	\$64,673.39
S015460			INSTALLATION OF SIDEWALK ON SR 13 OVER FLAT CREEK	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2021	Gainesville	\$109,855.00	100	\$109,855.00
S015707			extend the eastbound left turn lane at SR 369 and Prior St	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2022	Gainesville	\$116,632.38	100	\$116,632.38
S015730			Install a RHPL on SR 60/Candler Rd at Cottrell Driveway	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2022	Gainesville	\$165,297.23	100	\$165,297.23
S015731			Extend Left Turn Lanes on SR 60 btw Pearl Nix & Industrial	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2022	Gainesville	\$152,710.20	100	\$152,710.20

S015772	Extend the EB Left Turn Lane along SR 53 Connector & SR 369	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2022	Gainesville	\$164,001.43	100	\$164,001.43
S015773	Extend Turn Lane on SR 365 for NB U-Turn A Jaemor Farms	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2023	Gainesville	\$175,160.50	100	\$175,160.50
S015776	Install a RCUT on SR 365 at Mud Creek Road	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2023	Gainesville	\$150,010.64	100	\$150,010.64
S015783	Install a U-Turn near SR 365 & Mud Creek Road.	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2023	Gainesville	\$197,165.05	100	\$197,165.05
S015812	INSTALL DUAL LTL ON WB APPROACH AT SR 11/369 & SR 60 BUS	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2023	Gainesville	\$60,827.41	100	\$60,827.41
S015862	Extending the Thru Lane on SR 347 EB @ I-985 SB	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2023	Gainesville	\$170,039.07	100	\$170,039.07
S015863	Install a Dual Left Turn Lane on SR 347 EB at I-985 NB ramp	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2023	Gainesville	\$196,486.80	100	\$196,486.80
S015872	Install Medians & Striping on SR 52 @ Old Gillsville Rd	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2023	Gainesville	\$48,919.47	36	\$17,611.01

Jackson

PROJ	PROJ NO.	TIP NO.	DESCRIPTION	Phase Status	Phase Code	Program Year	MPO	Latest Cost Estimated Total	% in MPO	Amount
0013545		GH- 109	I-85 FROM N OF SR 53 TO N OF SR 11/US 129	AUTHORIZED	CST	2020	Gainesville	\$109,510,090.94	94	\$102,939,485.48
0013609		GH- 028	SR 332 @ WALNUT CREEK & OVERFLOW 1.5 MI S OF PENDERGRASS	AUTHORIZED	CST	2020	Gainesville	\$3,900,977.86	44	\$1,716,430.26
0015391			CS 751/CR 1317/DAVIS ST @	AUTHORIZED	PE	2020	Gainesville	\$300,000.00	100	\$300,000.00
			1 LOC &CS 753/PINECREST LN @ 1 LOC		ROW	2022	Gainesville	\$580,000.00	100	\$580,000.00
0016065			SR 53 @ CR 433/NEW CUT	AUTHORIZED	CST	2023	Gainesville	\$4,992,480.29	100	\$4,992,480.29
			ROAD		ROW	2022	Gainesville	\$1,470,000.00	100	\$1,470,000.00
0017120			SR 53 @ I-85	AUTHORIZED	CST	2020	Gainesville	\$134,205.00	100	\$134,205.00
0018300			SR 53 MOBILITY STUDY - SCOPING ONLY	AUTHORIZED	SCP	2022	Gainesville	\$1,000,000.00	70	\$700,000.00
0019223			CR 147/JACKSON TRAIL ROAD - OFF-SYSTEM SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	AUTHORIZED	PE	2023	Gainesville	\$8,000.00	63	\$5,040.00
M005243			SR 332 FROM SR 11/JACKSON TO SR 60/HALL	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2021	Gainesville	\$1,200,752.48	47	\$564,353.67

M005367	I-85 @ 7 LOCS IN BARROW & JACKSON - BRIDGE PRESERVATION	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2020	Gainesville	\$1,023,230.37	12	\$122,787.64
M006226	SR 60 FROM SR 124/JACKSON TO SR 332/HALL	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2022	Gainesville	\$1,884,987.54	82	\$1,545,689.78
S015409	CONSTRUCT LEFT TURN LANE ON SR 124 AT SR 60	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2020	Gainesville	\$177,647.63	100	\$177,647.63
S015459	SR 53 AT WEST JACKSON ROAD NBLTL TO SBLTL	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2021	Gainesville	\$69,683.29	100	\$69,683.29
S015645	Intersection Improvements on Skelton Rd @ SR332 and SR124	AUTHORIZED	PR	2022	Gainesville	\$450,000.00	100	\$450,000.00
S015716	Install RH passing lane at SR 53 & New Liberty Church Rd	AUTHORIZED	TSA	2022	Gainesville	\$142,724.99	100	\$142,724.99

Appendix G:

REFERENCES



Below is a list of definitions, abbreviations, funding and phase codes, and acronyms used within the text of the Transportation Improvement Program:

Abbreviations

AADT Average Annual Daily Traffic CAC Citizens Advisory Committee

CE Categorical Exclusion

DOT Department of Transportation

FAST Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act
FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FHWA Federal Highway Administration FTA Federal Transit Administration

GDOT Georgia Department of Transportation

GHMPO Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization

GHPA Gainesville-Hall Planning Area

HB 170 State Funds

HRRR High Risk Rural Roads

LOC Local

LRTP Long Range Transportation Plan
MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization
MTP Metropolitan Transportation Plan
RTP Regional Transportation Plan

STIP State Transportation Improvement Program

STP State Transportation Plan

TAP Transportation Alternatives Program

TE Transportation Enhancement

TCC Technical Coordinating Committee
TIP Transportation Improvement Program

UPWP Unified Planning Work Program

USDOT United States Department of Transportation

GDOT Project Phase Code

AVIA Aviation

CST Construction

MCST Maintenance Construction

MPF Maintenance Preliminary Engineering

PΕ Preliminary Engineering

PLN **Planning** ROW or RW Right-of-Way SCP Scoping

TCAP Transit Capital **TOPR Transit Operating TPLN** Transit Planning

UTL Utility

FHWA Fund Code Program Description

National Highway Performance Program Z001

(NHPP)

National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Z002

Exempt

Surface Transportation Program (STP) Z240

Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Improvement Z400

(CMAQ)

Z940 Recreational Trails Program (RTP) Highway Safety Improvement Program

ZS30 (HSIP)

Railway-Highway - Hazard ZS40

Elimination

State Fund Code Program Description

HB170 Transportation Funding

Urbanized Area Formula Program: 5307

The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (49 U.S.C. 5307) makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas and to Governors for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. An urbanized area is an incorporated area with a population of 50,000 or more that is designated as such by the U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census.

Transportation for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities (5310)

This program (49 U.S.C. 5310) provides formula funding to States for the purpose of assisting private nonprofit groups in meeting the transportation needs of the elderly and persons with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs. Funds are apportioned based on each State's share of population for these groups of people.

Funds are obligated based on the annual program of projects included in a statewide grant application. The State agency ensures that local applicants and project activities are eligible and in compliance with Federal requirements, that private not-for-profit transportation providers have an opportunity to participate as feasible, and that the program provides for coordination of Federally-assisted transportation services assisted by other Federal sources. Once FTA approves the application, funds are available for state administration of its program and for allocation to individual sub-recipients within the state.

Formula Grants for Other than Urbanized Areas (5311)

The Formula Grants For Other than Urbanized Areas is a rural program that is formula based and provides funding to states for the purpose of supporting public transportation in rural areas, with population of less than 50,000. The goal of the program is to provide the following services to communities with population less than 50,000:

- Enhance the access of people in non-urbanized areas to health care, shopping, education, employment, public services, and recreation.
- Assist in the maintenance, development, improvement, and use of public transportation systems in non-urbanized areas.
- Encourage and facilitate the most efficient use of all transportation funds used to provide passenger transportation in non-urbanized areas through the coordination of programs and services.
- Assist in the development and support of intercity bus transportation.
- Provide for the participation of private transportation providers in non-urbanized transportation.

Appendix H:

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT TARGETS



Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)/Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) System Performance Report (Updated December 2024)

Background

Pursuant to the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) Act enacted in 2012 and the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) enacted in 2015, state Departments of Transportation (DOT) and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) must apply a transportation performance management (TPM) approach in carrying out their federally-required transportation planning and programming activities. The process requires the establishment and use of a coordinated performance-based approach to transportation decision-making to support national goals for the federal-aid highway and public transportation programs.

To help transportation agencies take the necessary steps toward achieving the national goals, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) promulgated a series of rulemakings between 2016 and 2019 that established performance measures (PM) for the federal-aid highway and public transportation programs. Part of that series of rulemakings was the Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning Final Rule (The Planning Rule)¹ issued on May 27, 2016, that implemented the transportation planning and TPM provisions of MAP-21 and the FAST Act.

On November 15, 2021, President Joe Biden signed into law The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), also known as the <u>Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL)</u>. The BIL (or IIJA) delivers generational investments in our roads and bridges, promotes safety for all road users, helps combat the climate crisis, and advances equitable access to transportation. The TPM approach from MAP-21 and the FAST Act is carried forward to this current law.

In accordance with National Performance Management Measures², the Planning Rule, as well as the Georgia Performance Management Agreement between the Georgia DOT (GDOT) and the Georgia Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (GAMPO), GDOT and each Georgia MPO must publish a System Performance Report (SPR) for applicable performance targets in their respective statewide and metropolitan transportation plans and programs.

- A System Performance Report (SPR) and subsequent updates <u>is a federal requirement</u> as part of any Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) to evaluate the condition and performance of the transportation system with respect to the established performance targets;
- While the implemented Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) shows progress towards meeting the established performance targets.

² 23 CFR 490.107

¹ 23 CFR Part 450, Subpart B and Subpart C

The SPR presents the condition and performance of the transportation system with respect to required performance measures, documents performance targets and progress achieved in meeting the targets in comparison with previous reports. This is required for the following:

- In any statewide or metropolitan transportation plan or program amended or adopted after May 27, 2018, for Highway Safety/PM1 measures;
- In any statewide or <u>metropolitan transportation plan or program</u> amended or adopted after October 1, 2018, for transit asset measures;
- In any statewide or <u>metropolitan transportation plan or program</u> amended or adopted after May 20, 2019, for Pavement and Bridge Condition/PM2 and System Performance, Freight, and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality/PM3 measures; and
- In any statewide or <u>metropolitan transportation plan or program</u> amended or adopted after July 20, 2021, for transit safety measures.

The Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization 2020 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)³ was <u>adopted</u> on May 12, 2020. Per the Planning Rule and the Georgia Performance Management Agreement, the System Performance Report for the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization 2020 RTP is included, herein, for the required Highway Safety/PM1, Bridge and Pavement Condition/PM2, and System Performance, Freight, and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality/PM3 measures.

Highway Safety/PM1

Effective April 14, 2016, the FHWA established the highway safety performance measures⁴ to carry out the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP). These performance measures are:

- 1. Number of fatalities;
- 2. Rate of fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled;
- 3. Number of serious injuries;
- 4. Rate of serious injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled; and
- 5. Number of combined non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries.

Safety performance targets are provided annually by the States to FHWA for each safety performance measure. GDOT submits the HSIP report annually to FHWA. The HSIP 2024 annual report was submitted to FHWA by August 31, 2024 and established the statewide safety targets for year 2025 based on an anticipated five-year rolling average (2021-2025). Georgia statewide safety performance targets for 2025 are included in Table 1, along with statewide safety performance for the two most recent reporting periods⁵. MPOs have 180 days after the states (GDOT) submit their targets to FHWA to either adopt the state targets or set their own PM1 targets; The Gainesville-Hall MPO adopted/approved the Georgia statewide safety performance targets for 2025 on December 10, 2024.

³ The 2020 Regional Transportation Plan was adopted on May 12, 2020, and serves as the Metropolitan Transportation Plan for the Gainesville-Hall MPO. https://www.ghmpo.org/planning-documents/regional-transportation-plan-2020/

^{4 23} CFR Part 490, Subpart B

https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/spm/state_safety_targets/



The latest safety conditions will be updated annually over a rolling 5-year window and reflected within each subsequent System Performance Report, to track performance over time in relation to baseline conditions and established targets.

Table 1 shows the Georgia statewide safety performance and targets and five-year rolling averages over the last three years.

Table 1. Statewide Highway Safety/PM1, System Conditions and Performance Targets (Due August each year to FHWA)

Performance Measures	2022 Georgia Statewide Performance Target (Five-Year Rolling Average 2018-2022)	2023-2024 Georgia Statewide Performance Target (Five-Year Rolling Average 2020-2024)	2025 Georgia Statewide Performance Target (Five-Year Rolling Average 2021-2025)
Number of Fatalities	1,671	1,680	1,600
Rate of Fatalities per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled	1.21	1.36	1.25
Number of Serious Injuries	8,443	8,966	7,109
Rate of Serious Injuries per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled	4.610	7.679	5,711
Number of Combined Non- Motorized Fatalities and Non- Motorized Serious Injuries	793.0	802	797

Source: GDOT's HSIP reports.

The Gainesville-Hall MPO recognizes the importance of linking goals, objectives, and investment priorities to stated performance objectives, and that establishing this link is critical to the

achievement of national transportation goals and statewide and regional performance targets. As such, the <u>2020 RTP</u> directly reflects the goals, objectives, performance measures, and targets as they are available and described in other State and public transportation plans and processes; specifically, the Georgia Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), the Georgia Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), and the Georgia 2050 Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan (SWTP)/2021 Statewide Strategic Transportation Plan (SSTP).

- The Georgia SHSP is intended to reduce the number of fatalities and serious injuries resulting
 from motor vehicle crashes on public roads in Georgia. Existing highway safety plans are
 aligned and coordinated with the SHSP, including (but not limited to) the Georgia HSIP, MPO
 and local agencies' safety plans. The SHSP guides GDOT, the Georgia MPOs, and other
 safety partners in addressing safety and defines a framework for implementation activities to
 be carried out across Georgia.
- The GDOT HSIP annual report provide for a continuous and systematic process that identifies
 and reviews traffic safety issues around the state to identify locations with potential for
 improvement. The ultimate goal of the HSIP process is to reduce the number of crashes,
 injuries and fatalities by eliminating certain predominant types of crashes through the
 implementation of engineering solutions.
- The 2021 SSTP/2050 SWTP combines GDOT's strategic business case for transportation investment with the long-range, comprehensive transportation planning considerations under Federal law. The SSTP/SWTP is organized into three investment categories, reflecting three major ways people and freight move in Georgia; statewide freight and logistics, people mobility in Metro Atlanta, and people mobility in emerging metros and rural Georgia. The plan identifies strategies to bring about Foundational, Catalytic, and Innovation investments for the above mentioned categories.⁶
- The Gainesville Hall MPO 2020 RTP increases the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users as required by the Planning Rule. The RTP identifies safety needs within the metropolitan planning area and provides funding for targeted safety improvements. The Gainesville-Hall MPO has several initiatives focused on increasing safety. Specifically, the RTP identifies roadways with the highest frequency of crashes, fatalities, and injuries in order to identify where improvements need to be made to increase safety within the GHMPO planning boundary. To increase pedestrian safety, the MPO has been working collaboratively with local jurisdictions to expand the Highlands to Islands Trail, providing multimodal transportation options to all Hall County residents. Additionally, the MPO is assisting Hall County in managing their Safe Streets for All (SS4A) grant, which is currently being used to develop an Action Plan and identify projects that would increase safety for vehicles, cyclists, and pedestrians. These recommendations will then be taken into consideration as Hall County utilizes the plan to apply for implementation grants. Lastly, the MPO publishes a crash profile of both Hall and Jackson Counties on a yearly basis. This crash profile provides maps of crashes throughout both counties and identifies high accident intersections, which local jurisdictions use to assist in planning safety improvement projects. Lastly, the Gainesville-Hall MPO has begun work the State Route 13 / Atlanta Highway Corridor Study, which is specifically seeking to find solutions to both congestion and safety concerns on the State Route 13 / Atlanta Highway Corridor.

^{6 2021}Statewide Strategic Transportation Plan/2050 Statewide Transportation Plan

• PI 0015702/GH-124 (Roadway Operations – Dawsonville Highway at McEver Road) was specifically identified in the 2020 RTP as the highest overall crash rate intersection, which will be mitigated by the planned operational improvements listed in the TIP. Further, this intersection, alongside Dawsonville Highway's intersections with Beachwood Blvd and Green Hill Circle, were all listed as sites with the highest number of collisions in the 2022 Hall County Crash Profile. Additionally, PI 0017392/GH-121(Green Street Operational Improvements) and PI 0015918/GH-126 (Academy Street Roundabout) are specifically listed as Band 1 projects recommend by the 2020 RTP. Lastly, the intersection of Browns Bridge Road and McEver Road was identified in the GHMPO 2022 Crash Profile for Hall County as the intersection with the fourth highest number of collisions, prompting improvements to increase operational safety (PI 0016921/GH-145). All of these projects are anticipated to improve safety for both automobiles and pedestrians.

Table 2: Gainesville-Hall MPO TIP Projects, 2024-2027

				Р	PM2		PM3			
PI#	Cost	Work Type	Safety	Bridges	Pavement	System Reliability	Truck Reliability	CMAQ		
0017392	\$18,550,000	Roadway Operations	②							
0015702	\$5,282,964	Roadway Operations	Ø							
0015918	\$5,150,210	Roundabout	②							
0016921	\$1,284,790	Intersection Improvement	②							

These projects and improvements serve to fulfill the stated goals of the MPO's 2020 Regional Transportation Plan:

- Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality
 of life, and promote consistency between transportation systems, across and between
 modes, for people and freight
- · Achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads
- · Achieve a significant reduction in congestion on the National Highway System
- · Improve safety
- Relieve congestion
- Improve the environment

Pavement and Bridge Condition/PM2

Effective May 20, 2017, FHWA established performance measures to assess pavement condition⁷ and bridge condition⁸ for the National Highway Performance Program. This second FHWA performance measure rule (PM2) established six performance measures:

- 1. Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition;
- 2. Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition;
- 3. Percent of non-Interstate National Highway System (NHS) pavements in good condition;
- 4. Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in poor condition;
- 5. Percent of NHS bridges by deck area classified as in good condition; and
- 6. Percent of NHS bridges by deck area classified as in poor condition.

Pavement Condition Measures

The pavement condition measures represent the percentage of lane-miles on the Interstate or non-Interstate NHS that are in good condition or poor condition. FHWA established five metrics to assess pavement condition: International Roughness Index (IRI); cracking percent; rutting; faulting; and Present Serviceability Rating (PSR). For each metric, a threshold is used to establish good, fair, or poor condition.

Pavement condition is assessed using these metrics and thresholds. A pavement section in good condition if three metric ratings are good, and in poor condition if two or more metric ratings are poor. Pavement sections that are not good or poor are considered fair.

The pavement condition measures are expressed as a percentage of all applicable roads in good or poor condition. Pavement in good condition suggests that no major investment is needed. Pavement in poor condition suggests major reconstruction investment is needed due to either ride quality or a structural deficiency.

Bridge Condition Measures

The bridge condition measures represent the percentage of bridges, by deck area, on the NHS that are in good condition or poor condition. The condition of each bridge is evaluated by assessing four bridge components: deck, superstructure, substructure, and culverts. FHWA created a metric rating threshold for each component to establish good, fair, or poor condition. Every bridge on the NHS is evaluated using these component ratings. If the lowest rating of the four metrics is greater than or equal to seven, the structure is classified as good. If the lowest rating is less than or equal to four, the structure is classified as poor. If the lowest rating is five or six, it is classified as fair.

To determine the percent of bridges in good or in poor condition, the sum of total deck area of good or poor NHS bridges is divided by the total deck area of bridges carrying the NHS. Deck area is computed using structure length and either deck width or approach roadway width. Good condition suggests that no major investment is needed. Bridges in poor condition are safe to drive on; however, they are nearing a point where substantial reconstruction or replacement is needed.

⁷ 23 CFR Part 490, Subpart C

^{8 23} CFR Part 490, Subpart D

Pavement and Bridge Targets

Pavement and bridge condition performance is assessed and reported over a four-year performance period. The first performance period began on January 1, 2018, and runs through December 31, 2021. GDOT reported baseline PM2 performance and targets to FHWA on October 1, 2018, and will report updated performance information at the midpoint and end of the performance period. The second four-year performance period covers January 1, 2022, to December 31, 2025, with additional performance periods following every four years. The PM2 rule requires states and MPOs to establish two-year and/or four-year performance targets for each PM2 measure. Current two-year targets under the second four-year performance period represent expected pavement and bridge condition at the end of calendar year 2023, while the current four-year targets represent expected condition at the end of calendar year 2025.



* FHWA changed the due date from October 1, 2022, due to a technical issue with the reporting system.

States establish targets as follows:

- Percent of Interstate pavements in good and poor condition four-year targets;
- Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in good and poor condition two-year and four-year targets; and
- Percent of NHS bridges by deck area in good and poor condition two-year and four-year targets.

MPOs have 180 days after the states (GDOT) submit their targets to FHWA to establish four-year targets for each measure by either agreeing to the statewide targets or setting quantifiable targets for the MPO's planning area that differ from the state targets.

GDOT established current statewide two-year and four-year PM2 targets on December 16, 2022. MPOs have 180 days from December 16, 2022 to adopt the state PM2 targets or set their own PM2 targets; The MPO second performance period PM2 targets must be set by June 14, 2023. The Gainesville-Hall MPO adopted/approved the Georgia statewide PM2 targets on February 21,

2023. Table 2 presents statewide baseline performance for each PM2 measure as well as the current two-year and four-year statewide targets established by GDOT.

On or before October 1, 2024, GDOT will provide FHWA with a detailed mid-performance report of pavement and bridge condition performance covering the period of January 1, 2022, to December 31, 2023, for the second performance period. GDOT and the Gainesville-Hall MPO will have the opportunity at that time to revisit the four-year PM2 targets.

Table 3. Pavement and Bridge Condition/PM2 Performance and Targets

Performance Measures	Georgia Performance (Baseline 2021)	Georgia 2- year Target (2023)	Georgia 4- year Target (2025)
Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition	67.4%	50.0%	50.0%
Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition	0.1%	5.0%	5.0%
Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in good condition	49.2%	40.0%	40.0%
Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in poor condition	0.6%	12.0%	12.0%
Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) in good condition	79.1%	50.0%	60.0%
Percent of NHS bridges (by deck area) in poor condition	0.5%	10.0%	10.0%

The Gainesville-Hall MPO recognizes the importance of linking goals, objectives, and investment priorities to stated performance objectives, and that establishing this link is critical to the achievement of national transportation goals and statewide and regional performance targets. As such, the 2020 RTP directly reflects the goals, objectives, performance measures, and targets as they are available and described in other State and public transportation plans and processes; specifically, Georgia's Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP), the Georgia Interstate Preservation Plan, and the current SSTP/2050 SWTP.

- MAP-21 initially required GDOT to develop a TAMP for all NHS pavements and bridges within the state. In addition, BIL requires considering extreme weather and resilience as part of the life-cycle planning and risk management analyses within a State TAMP process and evaluation. GDOT's TAMP describes Georgia's current bridge (bridge culverts) and pavement asset management processes for improving and preserving the condition of the National Highway System (NHS), which comprised of approximately 7,200 miles of roadway within the State which includes interstates, state routes and local roads as well as 4,300 structures of both bridges and bridge culverts. GDOT has recently developed TAMP for FY 2022-2031, which uses life-cycle planning and outlines the priorities and investment strategies leading to a program of projects that would make progress toward achievement of GDOT's statewide pavement and bridge condition targets and cost effectively manage and preserve these assets over the next 10 years.
- The Georgia Interstate Preservation Plan applied a risk profile to identify and communicate Interstate preservation priorities; this process leveraged a combination of asset management techniques with risk management concepts to prioritize specific investment strategies for the Interstate system in Georgia.

- The 2021 SSTP/2050 SWTP combines GDOT's strategic business case for transportation investment with the long-range, comprehensive transportation planning considerations under Federal law. The SSTP/SWTP is organized into three investment categories, reflecting three major ways people and freight move in Georgia; statewide freight and logistics, people mobility in Metro Atlanta, and people mobility in emerging metros and rural Georgia. The plan identifies strategies to bring about Foundational, Catalytic, and Innovation investments for the above mentioned categories.⁹
- The Gainesville-Hall MPO RTP addresses infrastructure preservation and identifies pavement and bridge infrastructure needs within the metropolitan planning area, and allocates funding for targeted infrastructure improvements. The RTP specifically identifies several bridges that require replacement, and funds have been programmed to replace them over fiscal years 2024 through 2027. The Gainesville-Hall MPO is committed to maintaining bridges and pavements throughout the MPO planning boundary, and is working with local jurisdictions to identify where paving improvements and bridge replacements are necessary or will become necessary over the MPO's long-range planning horizon. PI 0015551/GH-119, or the Thompson Bridge Road bridge replacement over the Chattahoochee River, is specifically identified as a Band 1 recommended project in the 2020 RTP. PI 0017735/GH-141 and PI 0019079/GH-144 are both bridge replacement projects that stemmed from GDOT's Bridge Replacement Program, which identifies bridges across the State that are in need of repair or replacement based upon their safety score.


Table 4: Gainesville-Hall MPO TIP Projects, 2024-2027

			PM1	PM2		PM3			
PI#	Cost	Work Type	Safety	Bridges	Pavement	System Reliability	Truck Reliability	CMAQ	
0015551	\$41,910,587	Bridge Replacement		②					
0017735	\$1,827,034	Bridge Replacement		Ø					
0019079	\$1,985,000	Bridge Replacement		②					

These projects and improvements serve to fulfill the stated goals of the MPO's 2020 Regional Transportation Plan:

- Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight
- Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system
- Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation

⁹ 2021Statewide Strategic Transportation Plan/2050 Statewide Transportation Plan



System Performance, Freight, and Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Improvement Program/PM3

Effective May 20, 2017, FHWA established measures to assess performance of the National Highway System¹⁰, freight movement on the Interstate system¹¹, and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program¹². This third FHWA performance measure rule (PM3) established six performance measures, described below.

National Highway System Performance:

- 1. Percent of person-miles on the Interstate system that are reliable;
- 2. Percent of person-miles on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable;

Freight Movement on the Interstate:

3. Truck Travel Time Reliability Index (TTTR);

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program:

- 4. Annual hours of peak hour excessive delay per capita (PHED);
- 5. Percent of non-single occupant vehicle travel (Non-SOV); and
- Cumulative two-year and four-year reduction of on-road mobile source emissions for CMAQ funded projects (CMAQ Emission Reduction).

The CMAQ performance measures apply to states and MPOs with projects financed with CMAQ funds whose boundary contains any part of a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, carbon monoxide or particulate matter. The Gainesville-Hall MPO meets air quality standards, therefore, the CMAQ measures do not apply and are not reflected in the System Performance Report.

System Performance Measures

The two System Performance measures assess the reliability of travel times on the Interstate or non-Interstate NHS system. The performance metric used to calculate reliability is the Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR). LOTTR is defined as the ratio of longer travel times (80th percentile) to a normal travel time (50th percentile) over all applicable roads during four time periods (AM peak, Mid-day, PM peak, and weekends) that cover the hours of 6 AM to 8 PM each day.

The LOTTR ratio is calculated for each segment of applicable roadway, essentially comparing the segment with itself. A segment is deemed to be reliable if its LOTTR is less than 1.5 during all four time periods. If one or more time periods has a LOTTR of 1.5 or above, that segment is unreliable.

The measures are expressed as the percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate or non-Interstate NHS system that are reliable. Person-miles take into account the number of people traveling in buses, cars, and trucks over these roadway segments. To determine total person

^{10 23} CFR Part 490, Subpart E

^{11 23} CFR Part 490, Subpart F

^{12 23} CFR Part 490, Subparts G and H

miles traveled, the vehicle miles traveled (VMT) on each segment is multiplied by average vehicle occupancy. To calculate the percent of person miles traveled that are reliable, the sum of the number of reliable person miles traveled is divided by the sum of total person miles traveled.

Freight Movement Performance Measure

The Freight Movement performance measure assesses reliability for trucks traveling on the Interstate. A TTTR ratio is generated by dividing the 95th percentile truck travel time by a normal travel time (50th percentile) for each segment of the Interstate system over five time periods throughout weekdays and weekends (AM peak, Mid-day, PM peak, weekend, and overnight) that cover all hours of the day. For each segment, the highest TTTR value among the five time periods is multiplied by the length of the segment. The sum of all length-weighted segments is then divided by the total length of Interstate to generate the TTTR Index.

PM3 Performance Targets

Performance for the PM3 measures is assessed and reported over a four-year performance period. For all PM3 measures, the first performance period began on January 1, 2018, and will end on December 31, 2021. GDOT reported baseline PM3 performance and targets (for First Performance Period) to FHWA on October 1, 2018, the baseline PM3 performance and targets (for Second Performance Period) to FHWA on December 16, 2022, and will report updated performance information at the midpoint and end of the performance period. The second four-year performance period will cover January 1, 2022, to December 31, 2025, with additional performance periods following every four years.

The PM3 rule requires state DOTs and MPOs to establish two-year and/or four-year performance targets for each PM3 measure. For all targets, the current two-year and four-year targets represent under the second four-year performance period expected performance at the end of calendar years 2023 and 2025, respectively.



^{*} FHWA changed the due date from October 1, 2022, due to a technical issue with the reporting system.

States establish targets as follows:

- Percent of person-miles on the Interstate system that are reliable two-year and four-year targets;
- Percent of person-miles on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable four-year targets;
- Truck Travel Time Reliability two-year and four-year targets;
- Annual hours of peak hour excessive delay per capita (PHED) four-year targets;
- Percent of non-single occupant vehicle travel (Non-SOV) two-year and four-year targets;

MPOs establish four-year targets for the System Performance, Freight Movement, and PHED measures, and two-year and four-year targets for the Non-SOV measure. MPOs establish targets by either agreeing to program projects that will support the statewide targets, or setting quantifiable targets for the MPO's planning area that differ from the state targets.

GDOT established statewide PM3 targets and submitted to FHWA by December 16, 2022. The <u>Gainesville-Hall MPO adopted/approved</u> the Georgia statewide PM3 targets on <u>February 21, 2023.</u> Table 6 presents statewide baseline performance for each PM3 measure as well as the current two-year and four-year statewide targets established by GDOT.

On or before October 1, 2024, GDOT will provide FHWA with a detailed mid-performance report of PM3 performance covering the period of January 1, 2022, to December 31, 2023, for the second performance period. GDOT and the <u>Gainesville-Hall MPO</u> will have the opportunity at that time to revisit the four-year PM3 targets.

Table 5. System Performance/Freight Movement/CMAQ (PM3) Performance and Targets

Performance Measure	Georgia Performance (Baseline 2021)	Georgia 2- year Target (2023)	Georgia 4- year Target (2025)
Percent of person-miles on the Interstate system that are reliable	82.8%	73.9%	68.4%
Percent of person-miles on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	91.9%	87.3%	85.3%
Truck Travel Time Reliability Index	1.47	1.62	1.65
Annual hours of peak hour excessive delay per capita (PHED)	14.4 hours	23.7 hours	27.2 hours
Percent Non-SOV travel	25.7%	22.7%	22.7%

The <u>Gainesville-Hall MPO</u> recognizes the importance of linking goals, objectives, and investment priorities to stated performance objectives, and that establishing this link is critical to the achievement of national transportation goals and statewide and regional performance targets. As such, the <u>2020 RTP</u> directly reflects the goals, objectives, performance measures, and targets as they are available and described in other State and public transportation plans and processes; specifically, the Georgia Statewide Freight and Logistics Action Plan, and the current 2021 SSTP/2050 SWTP.

- The 2023 Georgia Freight Plan documents freight planning activities and investments in the state, identifies and assesses current and future freight needs and challenges incorporating both technical analysis and stakeholder engagement, and guides freight-related transportation decisions and investments. The plan integrates policy positions and strategies from existing documents to help identify and prioritize freight investments critical to the state's economic growth and global competitiveness. The Georgia Freight Plan establishes specific goals for freight transportation and addresses freight issues that are not covered in other statewide planning documents.¹³
- The 2021 SSTP/2050 SWTP combines GDOT's strategic business case for transportation investment with the long-range, comprehensive transportation planning considerations under Federal law. The SSTP/SWTP is organized into three investment categories, reflecting three major ways people and freight move in Georgia; statewide freight and logistics, people mobility in Metro Atlanta, and people mobility in emerging metros and rural Georgia. The plan identifies strategies to bring about Foundational, Catalytic, and Innovation investments for the above mentioned categories.¹⁴
- The Gainesville-Hall MPO 2020 RTP addresses reliability, freight movement, congestion, and emissions, and identifies needs for each of these issues within the metropolitan planning area and allocates funding for targeted improvements. The RTP has identified several roadways that would increase system and truck reliability if widened, and those projects have been added to the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program. Additionally, a new roadway and a new interchange have been identified and programmed, which will increase mobility and reduce congestion. Due to several factors, such as the development of the Northeast Georgia Inland Port, the MPO is committed to improving system reliability to accommodate increased truck traffic and its impacts on congestion and reliability.
- The below projects in Table 6 are identified in various sources as necessary to maintain a functional level of service in the 2020 RTP's 2050 Traffic Demand Models. PI 0015280/GH-023B (Spout Springs Road Widening) was deemed necessary based on its designation as one of the top ten corridors operating at level-of-service F in the 2050 do-nothing model. Additionally, PI 0003626/GH-016 (Sardis Road Connector), PI 122060/GH-020A, PI 0016862/GH-020B, PI 0016863/GH-020C (all three phases of the Cleveland Highway Widening), and PI 0016074/GH-133 (SR 365's new interchange at Lanier Tech Dr.) are all identified in the 2020 RTP as high priority projects for improving operations and system reliability. In the past year, three new projects have been added to the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program, as well as the Regional Transportation Plan: 2020 Update and the Metropolitan Transportation Plan: 2025 Update (still in development), that seek to improve system reliability and improve pavement conditions. These projects are PI 0016089/GH-147 (Phase II of the SR 211 Widening), PI 0020731/GH-150 (SR 365 Widening) and PI 0020735/GH-151 (SR 53 Widening from SR 124 to New Cut Road.

¹³ https://www.dot.ga.gov/GDOT/Pages/Freight.aspx

¹⁴ 2021Statewide Strategic Transportation Plan/2050 Statewide Transportation Plan

Table 6: Gainesville-Hall MPO TIP Projects, 2024-2027

			PM1	Р	PM2			
PI#	Cost	Work Type	Safety	Bridges	Pavement	System Reliability	Truck Reliability	CMAQ*
0003626	\$59,062,933	New Roadway				②	②	
132610	\$26,476,359	Widening					Ø	
0013762	\$1,301,733	Widening					Ø	
122060	\$62,729,937	Widening					②	
0016862	\$27,991,337	Widening					Ø	
0016863	\$39,199,441	Widening					②	
0015280	\$42,731,208	Widening				②	②	
0016089	\$284,140.29	Widening				②	Ø	
0020731	\$10,000,000	Widening				②	Ø	
0020735	\$1,500,000	Widening				Ø	Ø	
0016074	\$20,061,376	New Interchange				Ø	Ø	

Note: The CMAQ measures including PHED, Non-SOV, and Emission Reduction apply only within the boundaries of each U.S. Census Bureau-designated urbanized area (UZA) that contains an NHS road, has a population of more than 200 thousand, and contains any part of a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, carbon monoxide or particulate matter. Gainesville-Hall MPO does not have to track CMAQ measures on PHED, Non-SOV, or Emissions Reduction performance.

These projects and improvements serve to fulfill the stated goals of the MPO's 2020 Regional Transportation Plan:

- Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight
- Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight
- Promote efficient system management and operation
- Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system

- Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate the stormwater impacts of surface transportation
- · Achieve a significant reduction in congestion on the National Highway System
- · Improve the efficiency of the surface transportation system
- Maintain the highway infrastructure asset program in a state of good repair

Transit Asset Management Targets

GHMPO works with Hall Area Transit to meet the goals outlined in the Georgia Statewide Transit Plan:

- · Provide a safe and sustainable public transit network
 - Hall Area Transit has been expanding their WeGo vehicle fleet, and is working with GHMPO and a consultant to develop a Zero Emission Vehicle Transition Plan. Once in place, Hall Area Transit seeks to create a more sustainable future with WeGo by expanding their fleet into zero-emission vehicles. Additionally, GHMPO and Hall County are working with a consultant and local jurisdictions to develop a Safe Streets for All Action Plan, which will allow the County to identify opportunities for safety improvements and increase safety for the public transit network.
- Optimize public transit programs to best meet public transit systems' and travelers' needs
 - Hall Area Transit has used WeGo to provide on-demand micro-transit throughout Hall County that is available as-needed, providing last-mile service to all users, tailored to their individual needs.
- Ensure public transit coverage across the state to support mobility and access for all
 - Hall Area Transit's WeGo service has expanded to service all of Hall County, providing equitable coverage to all Hall County residents.
- · Connect rural transit to regional and urban centers
 - Hall Area Transit's WeGo service reaches the entirety of Hall County, from the center of the urbanized area to the rural sections to the north and east of the county. As a regional center, on-demand mobility throughout the Gainesville urbanized area is a crucial part of maintaining a healthy transportation network. While Hall Area Transit's service stops at the county line, there are opportunities for transportation beyond these limits, such as the Braselton Life Path section of the Highlands to Islands Trail.

- Leverage technology and innovation to support public transit ridership
 - Hall Area Transit has made use of WeGo, which utilizes smartphones to provide on-demand transportation.

Additionally, the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program includes significant transit investment in the form of Section 5307 funds received by Hall Area Transit. Hall Area Transit will be receiving \$8,373,370 in Urban Operating Funds, which will fund department operations, and \$1,300,000 in Urban Capital Funds, which will be used to purchase new vehicles and renovate transit facilities.

Lastly, Transit Asset Management Targets are a part of the MPO's ongoing effort to meet the following goals outlined in the 2020 RTP:

- Multimodal Connectivity: Provide a more integrated multimodal and intermodal transportation system that includes increase travel options by prioritizing transit, pedestrian, and bicycle travel throughout the region.
- Environment: Develop a transportation system that conserves energy, promotes the attainment of air quality standards, protects the natural environment, and minimizes adverse impacts.
- Mobility and Economic Vitality: Provide a transportation system that provides for the movement of people and goods safely and efficiently and advances the region's economic competitiveness.

The following Transit Asset Management targets were adopted in fall of 2020:

Asset Class	Useful Life Benchmark	FY20 Actual Performance (% of vehicles over ULB)	FY21 Approved Performance Target (% of vehicles over ULB)
BU - Bus (35'-40')	14 yrs.	4%	10%
BU - Bus (29'-30')	12 yrs.	23%	30%
CU – Cutaway Bus	7 yrs.	2%	8%
VN - Van	8 yrs.	35%	35%
EB – Electric Bus (35'-40')	14 yrs.	n/a	0%
RT – Rubber Tired Vintage Trolley	14 yrs.	n/a	0%
AO - Automobile	8 yrs.	50%	55%
TR – Trucks and Other Rubber Tired Vehicles	10 yrs.	39%	50%

Asset Class	FY21 Performance Target (% of Facilities with Condition Rating Below 3.0)				
Administration Facilities	25%				
Maintenance Facilities	25%				
Passenger/Parking Facilities	10%				

Appendix A: Project Types

The matrix below is based on 2024-2027 STIP projects as general guidelines; In reality, individual projects may yield benefits to other PMs than shown here given specific project characteristics.

Table 4: Projects/Work Types That Support Each Performance Measure Targets

	PM1	Р	·M2	PM3				
						СМАО		Q *
Work Type	Safety	Bridges	Pavement	System Reliability	Truck Reliability	PHED	Non-SOV	Emissions Reduction
Bicycle / Pedestrian Facilities	②						②	⊘
Bridges		Ø						
Drainage Improvements			Ø					
Grade Separation	②			Ø		Ø		
Interchange	Ø			Ø	Ø	Ø		
Intersection Improvement	②			Ø		②		
ITS				②		②		Ø
Lighting								
Managed Lanes	②			②	Ø	Ø		②
Operational Improvement				Ø	②	②		
Pavement Rehabilitation			Ø					
Railroad Crossing	②			Ø				
Transit							Ø	
Truck Lanes					②			
Widening				Ø		②		

System Performance Report

* The CMAQ measures including PHED, Non-SOV, and Emission Reduction apply only within the boundaries of each U.S. Census Bureau-designated urbanized area (UZA) that contains a NHS road, has a population of more than 200 thousand, and contains any part of a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, carbon monoxide or particulate matter.	
20	



2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, GA 30504 Tel: 770.297.5541 ghmpo.org

A Resolution by the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee Setting Performance Management Targets

WHEREAS, federal regulations require that the Long Range Transportation Plans and the Transportation Improvement Programs include Safety Performance Management Targets for urbanized areas; and

WHEREAS, the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization (GHMPO), in coordination with the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), has reviewed the requirement to adopt PM1 (Safety), PM 2 (Bridge and Pavement), and PM 3 (National Highway System, Freight, and CMAQ) Performance Management Targets for use in the transportation process; and

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) at their meetings on December 4, 2024 and December 5, 2024, respectively, recommended that GHMPO support the Bridge and Pavement Performance Management Targets approved by GDOT as follows:

PM 1 Targets for 2025

GHMPO supports the following Safety Performance Management Targets approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation for calendar year 2025:

- Number of Fatalities: 1,600
 - □ To maintain the 5-year moving average traffic fatalities under the projected 1,680 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Number of Serious Injuries: 7,109
 - To maintain the 5-year moving average serious traffic injuries under the projected 8,966 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Fatality Rate: 1.25
 - To maintain the 5-year moving average traffic fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled under the projected 1.25 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Serious Injury Rate: 5,711
 - □ To reduce the 5-year moving average serious traffic injuries for every 100 million vehicle miles traveled under the projected 5.711 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Total Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries: 797
 - □ To maintain the 5-year moving average non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries under the projected 797 (2021-2025 rolling average) by 2025



2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, GA 30504 Tel: 770.297.5541 ghmpo.org

PM 2 Targets

Table 1 Bridge Level of Service Measure

ASSET	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	DESCRIPTION	2-YEAR TARGET	4-YEAR TARGET
Bridge Structures	Percent of NHS Bridge in Poor condition as a percentage of total NHS bridge deck area	Bridge Conditions are based on results of inspection on all Bridge structures. Bridges rated as "Poor" are safe to drive on; however, they are nearing a point where it is necessary to either replace the bridge or extend its service life through substantial rehabilitations investments	≤ 10% (NHS) in Poor Condition	≤ 10% (NHS) in Poor Condition
Bridge Structures	Percent of NHS Bridges in Good condition as a percentage of total NHS bridge deck area	Bridges rated as "Good" will be evaluated as to cost of to maintain Good condition. Bridges rated as "Fair" will be evaluated as to cost of replacement vs. rehabilitation to bring the structure back to the condition rating of Good.	≥ 50% (NHS) in Good Condition	≥ 60% (NHS) in Good Condition

Table 2 Pavement Level of Services

ASSET	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	DESCRIPTION	TARGET
Interstate NHS	Percent of Interstate NHS pavements in Poor condition	Pavement conditions are measured through field inspections. Pavements in "poor" condition are in need of work due to either the ride quality or due to a structural deficiency.	≤ 5% (NHS) in Poor Condition
Interstate NHS	Percent of Interstate NHS pavements in Good condition	Interstate pavement rated as "good" will be considered for potential pavement preservation treatments to maintain the "good" rating.	≥ 50% (NHS) in Good Condition
Non- Interstate NHS	Percent of NHS pavements in Poor condition	Non-interstate NHS pavements in "poor" condition are in need of major maintenance. These will be evaluated for potential projects.	≤ 12% (NHS) in Poor Condition
Non- Interstate NHS	Percent of NHS pavements in Good condition	Non-interstate NHS pavements in "good" condition will be evaluated for potential preservation treatments.	≥ 40% (NHS) in Good Condition

Note: The 2-yr and 4-yr targets are the same. GDOT will have an opportunity to revisit and adjust (if necessary) the 4-year target in 2024



2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, GA 30504 Tel: 770.297.5541 ghmpo.org

PM 3 Targets

Summary of the PM 3 Performance Measures

PERFORMANCE MEASURE	GEOGRAPHIC EXTENT	APPLICABLE ROADWAYS
Percentage of person-miles traveled on the Interstate that are reliable	Statewide	Interstate
Percentage of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	Statewide	Non-Interstate
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index	Statewide	Interstate
Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Per Capita*	Atlanta Urbanized Area	Entire NHS
Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Travel*	Atlanta Urbanized Area	All Roads
Total Emissions Reduction	Statewide	All Roads

^{*}GDOT, Atlanta Regional Commission and Cartersville-Bartow Metropolitan Planning Organization are required to establish and report single targets for Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Per Capita and Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Travel for Atlanta urbanized area.

PM 3 Targets

PERFORMANCE MEASURE	2-YEAR TARGET	4-YEAR TARGET
Percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate that are reliable	73.9%	68.4%
Percent of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	87.3%	85.3%
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index	1.62	1.65
Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Per Capita*	23.7 hours	27.2 hours
Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Travel*	22.7%	22.7%
Total Emissions Reduction	VOC: 157.200 kg/day;	VOC: 257.100 kg/day;
	NOx: 510.900 kg/day	NOx: 904.200 kg/day

Note: GDOT will have an opportunity to revisit and adjust (if necessary) the 4-year target in 2024



2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, GA 30504 Tel: 770.297.5541 ghmpo.org

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the GHMPO Policy Committee (PC) concurs with the recommendations of the TCC and CAC that GHMPO agree to support the Safety Performance Management Targets, Bridge and Pavement Performance Management Targets, and the Targets for Performance of the National Highway System, Freight, and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality, as approved by GDOT.

A motion was made by PC member ______ and seconded by PC member ______ and approved this the 10th Day of December, 2024.

Commissioner Jim Hix, Chair

Policy Committee

Subscribed and sworn to me this the $10^{\text{th}}\,\text{Day}$ of December, 2024.

Transit Asset Management Targets



Gainesville - Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization

A Resolution by the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee Setting Transit Asset Management Targets

WHEREAS, the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization (GHMPO) is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for transportation planning within the Gainesville-Hall Planning Area which includes entire Hall County and a western portion of Jackson County; and

WHEREAS, federal legislation and rulemaking under MAP-21 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century) and the FAST Act (Fixing America's Surface Transportation) established new performance management requirements to ensure state Departments of Transportation (DOT) and MPOs focus the use of federal transportation funds on projects which address national transportation goals; and

WHEREAS, GHMPO, in coordination with the Federal Transit Administration and the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), has reviewed the requirement to adopt a Group Transit Asset Management Plan for use in the transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, transit providers are required to establish and assess state of good repair performance targets; and

WHEREAS, MPOs are required to establish state of good repair transit performance targets after the transit providers establish their initial targets; and

WHEREAS, in consultation with GDOT and transit providers, GHMPO may update its state of good repair targets annually; and

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) at their meetings on January 16th and January 31st respectively recommended that GHMPO support the Group Transit Asset Management Plan Targets approved by the GDOT as follows:

Transit Asset Management 2019-2022 Performance Targets:

2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, Georgia 30504 (770) 297-2625 www.ghmpo.org

Transit Asset Management Targets



Gainesville - Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization

Asset Category/Class	Total Number	Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	Number Exceeding ULB ¹ / 3.0 TERM Rating	% Exceeding ULB / 3.0 TERM Rating	Proposed FY19 Targets
Rolling Stock	775		96	12.4%	
BU-Bus (35' - 40')	82	14 yrs.	8	9.8%	15%
BU-Bus (29' - 30')	54	12 yrs.	21	38.9%	35%
CU-Cutaway bus	593	7 yrs.	52	8.8%	10%
MV-Minivan	1	8 yrs.	1	100.0%	50%
SB-School bus ²	33	15 yrs.	8	24.2%	50%
VN-Van	12	8 yrs.	6	50.0%	50%
Equipment	55		23	42.6%	
AO - Automobile	18	8 yrs.	11	61.1%	55%
Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	31	10 yrs.	11	35.5%	55%
Equip. > \$50,000 ³	6	14 yrs.	n/a	n/a	n/a
Facilities	83		7	8.4%	
Administration	62	n/a	2	3.2%	25%
Maintenance	11	n/a	5	45.5%	25%
Passenger / Parking Facilities	10	n/a	0	0%	10%

¹ For facilities, number below 3.0 TERM rating is used

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the GHMPO Policy Committee (PC) concurs with the recommendations of the TCC and CAC that GHMPO agree to support the Group Transit Asset Management Targets as approved by GDOT.

A motion was made by PC member Danay and seconded by PC member Richard Higgins and approved this the 12th of February, 2019.

Mayor Mike Miller, Chair Policy Committee

Subscribed and sworn to me this the 12th of February, 2019

Emily Foote Notary Public Hall County State of Georgia My commission expires July 31, 2022

My commission expires 7/31/2c22

2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, Georgia 30504 (770) 297-2625 www.ghmpo.org

² Refers to vehicle type, not type of service operated

³ For equipment, FTA requires performance targets to only be set for non-revenue or service vehicles

Appendix I:

TIP CONFORMITY DETERMINATION REPORT



Transportation Conformity Determination Report for the 1997 Ozone NAAQS



FY 2024 – 2027 Transportation Improvement Program

Executive Summary

As part of its transportation planning process, Gainesville-Hall MPO (GHMPO) completed the transportation conformity process for the original FY 2024 – 2027 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). This report documents that the FY 2024 – 2027 TIP meet the federal transportation conformity requirements in 40 CFR Part 93.

Clean Air Act (CAA) section 176(c) (42 U.S.C. 7506(c)) requires that federally funded or approved highway and transit activities are consistent with ("conform to") the purpose of the State Implementation Plan (SIP).

Conformity to the purpose of the SIP means that transportation activities will not cause or contribute to new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the relevant NAAQS or any interim milestones. 42 U.S.C. 7506(c)(1). EPA's transportation conformity rules establish the criteria and procedures for determining whether metropolitan transportation plans, transportation improvement programs (TIPs), and federally supported highway and transit projects conform to the SIP. 40 CFR Parts 51.390 and 93.

On February 16, 2018, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in South Coast Air Quality Mgmt. District v. EPA ("South Coast II," 882 F.3d 1138) held that transportation conformity determinations must be made in areas that were either nonattainment or maintenance for the 1997 ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) and attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS when the 1997 ozone NAAQS was revoked. These conformity determinations are required in these areas after February 16, 2019. Hall County was a part of the Atlanta 1997 nonattainment area. Hall County was a "maintenance" area at the time of the 1997 ozone NAAQS revocation on April 6, 2015 Therefore, per the South Coast II decision, this conformity determination is being made for the 1997 ozone NAAQS on the TIP.

This conformity determination was completed consistent with CAA requirements, existing associated regulations at 40 CFR Parts 51.390 and 93, and the South Coast II decision, according to EPA's Transportation Conformity Guidance for the South Coast II Court Decision issued on November 29, 2018.

1.0 Background

1.1 Transportation Conformity Process

The concept of transportation conformity was introduced in the Clean Air Act (CAA) of 1977, which included a provision to ensure that transportation investments conform to a State implementation plan (SIP) for meeting the Federal air quality standards. Conformity requirements were made substantially more rigorous in the CAA Amendments of 1990. The transportation conformity regulations that detail implementation of the CAA requirements was first issued in November 1993 and have been amended several times. The regulations establish the criteria and procedures for transportation agencies to demonstrate that air pollutant emissions from metropolitan transportation plans, transportation improvement programs and projects are consistent with ("conform to") the State's air quality goals in the SIP. This document has been prepared for State and local officials who are involved in decision making on transportation investments.

Transportation conformity is required under CAA Section 176(c) to ensure that federally supported transportation activities are consistent with ("conform to") the purpose of a State's SIP. Transportation conformity establishes the framework for improving air quality to protect public health and the environment. Conformity to the purpose of the SIP means Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funding and approvals are given to highway and transit activities that will not cause new air quality violations, worsen existing air quality violations, or delay timely attainment of the relevant air quality standard, or any interim milestone.

2.0 GHMPO 2020 Regional Transportation Plan/MTP

The <u>GHMPO Regional Transportation Plan</u> is the list of specific transportation projects for the GHMPO planning area. The MTP is designed to forecast demand for transportation services at least 20 years into the future (current target plan year is 2050), taking into account anticipated population growth, housing needs and employment goals for the region.

The MTP is developed in accordance with requirements set forth in Code of Federal Regulations Title 23, Section 450 (23 CFR 450) and serves as the official list of federally funded transportation projects and priorities throughout the Gainesville region. See the link for exact boundaries of the GHMPO boundary covered by the MTP.

The number and priority of projects on that list can be altered, based on the procedures laid out in the GHMPO Public Participation Plan. The latest full update to the GHMPO RTP was formally adopted on 12 May 2020, with subsequent amendments to reflect adoption of State of Georgia specific performance measure targets established as part of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) of 2015.

GHMPO FY 2024 - 2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The GHMPO TIP is the list of projects under consideration by GHMPO and Georgia Dept. of Transportation for construction or completion over the period covered in the plan dates. The main difference between the TIP and the MTP is that where the MTP is a list of all projects, TIP projects are active and at various stages of execution (i.e., Preliminary Engineering, Right Of Way acquisition, or Under Construction). The federally required update period for the TIP is every 4 years, although States and MPOs can have policies to update more frequently if they see fit.

The current GHMPO TIP (adopted 8 August 2023) covers fiscal years 2024 through 2027 (for the purposes of the TIP, the fiscal year is July 1 through June 30). The FY 2024 – 2027 TIP document was generated in consultation with the GHMPO member organizations, Georgia Dept. of Transportation, and FHWA, in accordance with requirements set forth in 23 CFR 450. The GHMPO FY 2024 – 2027 TIP maintains all fiscal balancing requirements set forth in 23 CFR 450, consistency with project listings in the MATS 2050 Long Range Transportation Plan, and conformity with the 1997 ozone NAAQS per the court's decision in South Coast II (see section 4.0 below).

3.0 Transportation Conformity Determination: General Process

Per the court's decision in South Coast II, beginning February 16, 2019, a transportation conformity determination for the 1997 ozone NAAQS will be needed in 1997 ozone NAAQS nonattainment and maintenance areas identified by EPA1 for certain transportation activities, including updated or amended metropolitan MTPs and TIPs. Once US DOT makes its 1997 ozone NAAQS conformity determination for the MATS 2050 MTP and FY 2021 - 2024 TIP, conformity will not be required for up to four years (assuming no other conformity trigger). This conformity determination report will address transportation conformity for the GHMPO 2050 MTP and FY 2024 – 2027 TIP.

4.0 Transportation Conformity

Requirements Overview

On November 29, 2018, EPA issued Transportation Conformity Guidance for the South Coast II Court Decision2 (EPA-420-B-18-050, November 2018) that addresses how transportation conformity determinations can be made in areas that were nonattainment or maintenance for the 1997 ozone NAAQS when the 1997 ozone NAAQS was revoked, but were designated attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS in EPA's original designations for this NAAQS (May 21, 2012).

The transportation conformity regulation at 40 CFR 93.109 sets forth the criteria and procedures for determining conformity. The conformity criteria for MTPs and TIPs include: latest planning assumptions (93.110), latest emissions model (93.111), consultation (93.112), transportation control measures (93.113(b) and (c), and emissions budget and/or interim emissions (93.118 and/or 93.119).

For the 1997 ozone NAAQS areas, transportation conformity for MTPs and TIPs for the 1997 ozone NAAQS can be demonstrated without a regional emissions analysis, per 40 CFR 93.109(c). This provision states that the regional emissions analysis requirement applies one year after the effective date of EPA's nonattainment designation for a NAAQS and until the effective date of revocation of such NAAQS for an area. The 1997 ozone NAAQS revocation was effective on April 6, 2015, and the South Coast II court upheld the revocation. As no regional emission analysis is required for this conformity determination, there is no requirement to use the latest emissions model, or budget or interim emissions tests.

Therefore, transportation conformity for the 1997 ozone NAAQS for the FY 2021 – 2024 TIP can be demonstrated by showing the remaining requirements in Table 1 in 40 CFR 93.109 have been met. These requirements, which are laid out in Section 2.4 of EPA's guidance and addressed below, include:

- Latest planning assumptions (93.110)
- Consultation (93.112)
- Transportation Control Measures (93.113)
- Fiscal constraint (93.108)

4.1 Latest Planning Assumptions

The use of latest planning assumptions in 40 CFR 93.110 of the conformity rule generally apply to regional emissions analysis. In the 1997 ozone NAAQS areas, the use of latest planning assumptions requirement applies to assumptions about transportation control measures (TCMs) in an approved SIP.

The Georgia SIP does not include any TCMs for Hall County.

4.2 Consultation Requirements

The consultation requirements in 40 CFR 93.112 were addressed both for interagency consultation and public consultation.

The TIP was made available to GHMPO planning partners through the technical and policy committees in Spring 2023, to allow time for comment prior to formal adoption or publication. In accordance with 93.105(b)(2)(iii) of the Transportation Conformity Rule, the project list was provided to the Atlanta Interagency Consultation Group on July 25, 2023, prior to adoption on August 8, 2023.

4.3 Timely Implementation of TCMs

The Georgia SIP does not include any TCMs for the Hall County Area

4.4 Fiscal Constraint

Transportation conformity requirements in 40 CFR 93.108 state that transportation plans and TIPs must be fiscally constrained consistent with DOT's metropolitan planning regulations at 23 CFR part 450. The GHMPO FY24-27 TIP is fiscally constrained, as demonstrated in the within the TIP documentation

Conclusion

The conformity determination process completed for the GHMPO FY2024-2027 TIP demonstrates that these planning documents meet the Clean Air Act and Transportation Conformity rule requirements for the 1997 ozone NAAQS.

Amendments

From time to time, it may become necessary to amend this Conformity Determination Report. In the event such are required, they will be incorporated pursuant to the requirements set forth in 23 CFR 450.316 and the procedures set forth in the GHMPO Public Participation Plan.

The adopted amendments to this document are listed below, in chronological order:

There are no amendments at this time.

Part I: Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Form

1.	Is your service area within a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) boundary?			
	Yes No			
If a	nswered yes, please upload your Transportation Improvement Program into Blackcat.			

Part J: Expenditures Form

Please complete the Expenditures Form spreadsheet in BlackCat.



Project expenditure plan for active projects
Please use Exhibit A diffectionates issued to the agency to find the information below. Expenditures projections should be realistic and accurate insert additional toward freeded

CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O				Amount	Authorized to S	Spend	Previous Reimbursement to Date (Federal +					
GDUT Project ID Number (contract #)	GDOT Project ID Number (contract #) FTA Project No. Scope/Sul X	Scope/Suffi x	x Pro	gram	Federal	State	Local	State) to Date	Balance Available	Projected Monthly Expenditures	Projected Completion Date	Justification
Not yet available	Not yet available	300-A4		5303 \$	116,718.00	\$ 14,589.75	\$ 14,589.75	\$ -	\$ 145,897.50	\$ 12,158.13	Jun-27	Funds will be spent by the end of FY 2027
									\$ -			
									_			
		-		-					s -			
									\$ -			
									\$ -			
									\$ -			
									\$ -			
									s -			
			-						\$ - \$ -			
									· ·			
									s -			
									\$ -			
									\$ -			
									\$ -			
									\$ -			
		+							s -			
		+							٠ .			
		+							s -			
									s -			
		1							\$ -			
									\$ -			
									\$ -	-		
									\$ -			
									\$ -			

Appendix A: FTA Certifications and Assurances

As part of this grant application package, all applicants must attach a signed copy of the most recent available FTA Certifications and Assurances (FY 2025) included as the following two pages.

The full FTA FY 2025 Certifications and Assurances document is available at:

FY2025 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances for FTA Grants and Cooperative Agreements (dot.gov)

- The FTA FY 2025 Certifications and Assurances sheet listing all of the relevant documents should be marked with a check mark (√) showing that ALL categories numbered 01 through 20 are being certified by your organization OR indicate which of the categories are applicable.
- Original signatures must be placed on the FTA Fiscal Year 2025 Certifications and Assurances page that includes the "Affirmation of Applicant" and "Affirmation of the Applicant's Attorney."

Federal Fiscal Year 2025Certifications and Assurances for FTA Assistance Programs

Name of Applicant:					
The Applicant agrees to comply with the applicable provisions of Categories 01 – 20					
OR					
The Applicant agrees to comply with applicable provisions of the Categories it has selected:					
Category	Description				
1	Certifications and Assurances Required of Every Applicant				
2	Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans				
3	Tax Liability and Felony Convictions				
4	Private Sector Protections				
5	Transit Asset Management Plan				
6	Rolling Stock Buy America Reviews and Bus Testing				
7	Urbanized Area Formula Grants Program				
8	Formula Grants for Rural Areas				
9	Fixed Guideway Capital Investment Grants and the Expedited Project Delivery for Capital Investment Grants Pilot Program				
10	Grants for Buses and Bus Facilities and Low or No Emission Vehicle Deployment Grant Programs				
11	Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Programs				
12	State of Good Repair Grants				
13	Infrastructure Finance Programs				
14	Alcohol and Controlled Substances Testing				
15	Rail Safety Training and Oversight				
16	Demand Responsive Service				
17	Interest and Financing Costs				
18	Cybersecurity Certification for Rail Rolling Stock and Operations				
19	Public Transportation on Indian Reservations Formula and Discretionary Program (Tribal Transit Programs)				
20	Emergency Relief Program				

Federal Fiscal Year 2025 FTA Certifications and Assurances Signature Page

Required of all Applicants for federal assistance to be awarded by FTA in FY 2027.

AFFIRMATION OF APPLICANT

Name of Applicant:
Name and Relationship of the Authorized Representative:
BY SIGNING BELOW, on behalf of the Applicant, I declare that it has duly authorized me to make these Certifications and Assurances and bind its compliance. Thus, it agrees to comply with all federal laws, regulations, and requirements, follow applicable federal guidance, and comply with the Certifications and Assurances as indicated on the foregoing page applicable to each application its Authorized Representative makes to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in federal fiscal year 2027, irrespective of whether the individual that acted on his or her Applicant's behalf continues to represent it.
FTA intends that the Certifications and Assurances the Applicant selects on the other side of this document should apply to each Award for which it now seeks, or may later seek, federal assistance to be awarded during federal fiscal year 2027.
The Applicant affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the Certifications and Assurances it has selected in the statements submitted with this document and any other submission made to FTA, and acknowledges that the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986, 31 U.S.C. § 3801 <i>et seq.</i> , and implementing U.S. DOT regulations, "Program Fraud Civil Remedies," 49 CFR part 31, apply to any certification, assurance or submission made to FTA. The criminal provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 apply to any certification, assurance, or submission made in connection with a federal public transportation program authorized by 49 U.S.C. chapter 53 or any other statute.
In signing this document, I declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing Certifications and Assurances, and any other statements made by me on behalf of the Applicant are true and accurate.
Signature: Date:
Name and Relationship of the Authorized Representative:

AFFIRMATION OF APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY

For:	
As the undersigned Attorney for the above-named Appartment under state, local, or tribal government law, a Certifications and Assurances as indicated on the fore Certifications and Assurances have been legally made	as applicable, to make and comply with the egoing pages. I further affirm that, in my opinion, the
I further affirm that, to the best of my knowledge, there that might adversely affect the validity of these Certific FTA assisted Award.	
Signature:	_ Date:
Name of Attorney for Applicant:	

Each Applicant for federal assistance to be awarded by FTA must provide an Affirmation of Applicant's Attorney pertaining to the Applicant's legal capacity. The Applicant may enter its electronic signature in lieu of the Attorney's signature within TrAMS, provided the Applicant has on file and uploaded to TrAMS this hard-copy Affirmation, signed by the attorney and dated this federal fiscal year.

Appendix B: Definition of FTA Technical Activities

As provided in FTA Circular 8100.1D

- 1. Program Support and Administration (44.21.00). Include basic overhead, program support, and general administrative costs directly chargeable to the FTA project; examples include direct program support, administration, interagency coordination, citizen participation, public information, local assistance, and Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) development. (If direct program administrative and support costs are included in each work or activity, do not enter them a second time in this category).
- General Development and Comprehensive Planning (44.22.00). Include only the costs of
 activities specifically emphasizing regional policy and system planning for non-transportation
 functional areas, plus the development and maintenance of related data collection and analysis
 systems, demographic analysis and non-transportation modeling, and forecasting activity;
 examples include land use, housing, human services, environmental and natural resources,
 recreation and open space, public facilities, and utilities.
- 3. Long Range Transportation Planning (LRTP)—System Level (44.23.01). Include only the costs of activities specifically emphasizing long range transportation system planning and analysis; examples include long range travel forecasting and modeling including appropriate data base development and maintenance for transportation in the entire metropolitan area or State, system analysis, sketch planning, system plan development, reappraisal or revision, and all long-range Transportation System Management (TSM) activities.
- 4. Short Range Transportation Planning (SRTP) (44.24.00). Include only the costs of activities specifically emphasizing short range transportation system or project planning and analysis proposed in the next three to five years; examples include management analyses of internal operations such as management/administration, maintenance, personnel, and labor relations; service planning including appropriate data base development and maintenance; Transportation Development Plan (TDP) preparation; financial management planning, including alternative farebox policies; and all short range Transportation System Management (TSM) activities including vanpool/ridesharing, high occupancy vehicles, and parking management.
- 5. Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) (44.25.00). Include only the costs of activities specifically emphasizing TIP development and monitoring.
- 6. Other Activities (44.27.00). Include only the costs of those activities whose primary emphasis is unrelated to the specific types of activities described above.

Appendix C: Performance-Based Transit Planning Agreement

Background

In accordance with enacted provisions of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Act, and the Fixing America's Transportation System (FAST) Act, the final rule for statewide and metropolitan transportation planning was published on May 27, 2016. A provision of the rule, 23 CFR 450.314 (h), requires that MPO(s), State(s), and the providers of public transportation develop and jointly agree upon specific written provisions for cooperatively developing and sharing information related to transportation performance data, the selection of performance targets, the reporting of performance targets, and the reporting of performance to be used in tracking progress toward attainment of critical outcomes for the region of the MPO.

To comply with these federal requirements, GDOT has developed a draft Transit Asset Management Agreement available for your use. The Agreement specifies the roles and responsibilities of GDOT and MPOs with regard to transit asset management data, targets, and plans. MPOs may develop alternative written agreements to meet the requirements of 23 CFR 450.314 (h), however, such agreements must be shared with GDOT and jointly agreed upon.

FAQs

1. "Who should sign the Agreement?"

The Agreement can be signed by an MPO and transit provider staff members. Per GDOT's consultation with FTA, it is *not* required that an MPO board vote to approve the Agreement.

2. "What if we need to update or amend an agreement?"

Agreement updates will be made on an as-needed basis rather than according to a set cycle. For example, modifications to individual agreements may be required due to changes in MPO boundaries, transit providers, or similar circumstances.

"My MPO is already developing a different agreement, does this mean we have to use the Agreement provided instead?"

No. An MPO may develop and use an alternative agreement so long as it meets all federal requirements. GDOT developed the included Agreement for MPOs that have not developed their own. If your MPO wishes to use an alternative agreement, that alternative should be shared with GDOT staff to ensure it complies with all requirements of 23 CFR 450.314 (h).

Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization certifies that it has submitted a Performance-based Transit Planning Agreement to the Georgia Department of Transportation.

If your MPO has not developed its own agreement, please sign the included Agreement, gather signatures from all transit providers in your MPO, and return the Agreement as part of your grant application. If necessary, add additional lines for transit provider signatures. If you have suggested changes to the language in this draft agreement, please contact GDOT. If your MPO has developed an alternative agreement, please include it with your application, or sooner if available.

Please direct any questions or inquiries to GDOT Intermodal, Patricia Smith, Ph.D. [Psmith@dot.ga.gov]

Performance-Based Transit Planning Agreement

On May 27, 2016, the final rule for statewide and metropolitan transportation planning was published, based on the 2012 Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Act and the 2015 Fixing America's Transportation System (FAST) Act. As part of this final rule, 23 CFR 450.314 (h) requires the metropolitan planning organizations (MPO), State(s), and the providers of public transportation (referred to here as "providers") to jointly agree upon and develop specific written provisions for cooperatively developing and sharing information related to transportation performance data, the selection of performance targets, the reporting of performance targets, and the reporting of performance to be used in tracking progress toward attainment of critical outcomes for the region of the MPO.

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), the MPO, and provider(s) hereby agree to share transit asset management data, targets, and plans as follows:

- Providers will share their Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan, and TAM targets with the MPO and GDOT and report to the National Transit Database.
- Providers will coordinate with the MPO and GDOT during the development of their TAM Plan and targets.
- The MPO will set TAM targets for their planning area in coordination with providers in their planning area and share those targets with providers and GDOT.
- GDOT sponsors a Group TAM Plan for participating Tier 2 transit providers, collects inventory information from these providers, sets targets in coordination with the providers, and shares the TAM Plan with providers and MPOs statewide.
- MPOs will reflect TAM targets in their short range and long-range planning documents and share with GDOT and providers in their planning area.
- GDOT will provide a Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Performance Report, reflecting TAM targets set by the GDOT Group Plan, and will share this report with MPOs and transit providers statewide.

Signature

Joseph Boyd, MPO Director

Print Name and Title

Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization

Organization Name

9/11/25

Name of Public Transportation Provider 1:	Hall Area Transit
Theorpe hour mor	Phillippa Lewis Moss, Director, HAT
Provider Signature	Print Name and Title
August 12, 2025	
Name of Public Transportation Provider 2:	Jackson County Transi
Provider Signature	Gina Roy, Jackson County Assistant Manager Print Name and Title
8/13/25 Date	
Name of Public Transportation Provider 3:	
Provider Signature	Print Name and Title
Date	
Name of Public Transportation Provider 4:	
Provider Signature	Print Name and Title
Data	

Appendix D: FY 2027 Additional 5303 Funding Request Scoring Criteria

For FY 2027, additional Section 5303 funding is available beyond the formula allocations specified in Table 1 (page 3). Organizations with additional planning project needs may apply for increased FY 2027 funds. Awards will be subject to funding availability and awarded projects must conform to all applicable Section 5303 rules and regulations.

Applications for additional funding will be evaluated using the following scoring criteria. A strong application should meaningfully address each criterion. However, it does not necessarily need to address multiple sub-criteria within each.

Criteria #1 - Departmental Priorities (40 points)

- Support Regional & Statewide Transit Coordination
- Improve Transit Safety
- Develop Measurable Performance-Based Planning Products
- Support Coordinated Human Services Transportation Planning
- Study Expansion of Service to Unserved/Underserved Areas
- Target Workforce Development

Criteria #2 - Planning Support for Transit Providers (40 points)

- Planning work that supports capital & operating grants
- Studies to evaluate projects currently in TIP/LRTP
- Evaluating the performance of transit projects
- Evaluating future funding options & availability
- Planning for emerging trends and technologies (examples: micro transit, signal prioritization, real time travel information, General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS) real time, etc.)

Criteria #3 - Past Performance (20 points)

- Timely & accurate 5303 invoicing
- Planning deliverables with clear transit nexus
- Transit projects are reflected in TIP and LRTP
- MPO is currently following a performance-based planning process, with respect to transit and relevant planning agreements
- MPO has an up-to-date Public Participation Plan

Appendix F: GDOT Project Manager Contact Information

Regional Commission	MPO	Project Manager
Northwest Georgia	Dalton MPO Chattanooga MPO Cartersville-Bartow MPO Rome MPO	
Georgia Mountains	Gainesville MPO	Mary E. Flatt
Three Rivers		(404) 487-5845 mflatt@dot.ga.gov
Southwest Georgia	Albany MPO (DARTS)	
Coastal Georgia RC	Savannah MPO (CORE-MPO) Hinesville MPO (Liberty) Brunswick MPO Glynn County BOC (BATS)	
Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC)	ARC	
Northeast GA RC	Athens-Clarke County MPO (MACORTS)	
Central Savannah River Area	Augusta MPO (ARTS)	Johnathan McLoyd
Middle Georgia	Macon-Bibb MPO (MATS) Warner Robbins MPO (WRATS)	(404) 631-1229 <u>jomcloyd@dot.ga.gov</u>
Heart of Georgia - Altamaha		
River Valley	Columbus MPO	
Southern Georgia	Valdosta MPO	

Appendix G: Useful Life

Minimal asset useful life standards for FTA grants.

Minimal Asset Useful Life Standards for FTA Grants

<u>Asset</u>	<u>Useful Life</u>	<u>Source</u>
Buses/Light Vehicles		
and the state of t	42 /500 000 1	574 C' 5040 4B
Large heavy-duty transit buses 35'-40'	12 years/500,000 miles	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Small heavy-duty transit buses 30'	10 years/350,000 miles	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Medium medium-duty transit buses 25'-35'; Sprinter bus	7 years/200,000 miles	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Medium light-duty transit buses 25'-35', BOC vehicles, Expansion vans	5 years/150,000 miles	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Light-duty vehicles (vans, sedans, light-duty buses); Support vehicles; BOC (15-		FTA Circular FO10 1D
19 passenger), < 30 ft	4 years/100,000 miles	FTA Circular 5010.1D
<u>Trolleys</u>		
Fixed evidence, sheet wheeled	25	FTA Circular FO10 1D
Fixed guideway steel-wheeled	25 years	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Fixed guideway electric, rubber tires	15 years Refer to bus useful life	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Simulated trolleys (rubber tires, internal combustion engine)	Refer to bus useful life	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Rail Vehicles	25 years, see circular	FTA Circular 5010.1D
<u>Ferries</u>		
Passenger ferrries	25 years	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Other ferries (w/o refurbishment)	30 years	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Other ferries (w/refurbishment)	60 years	FTA Circular 5010.1D
<u>Facilities</u>		
Buildings- concrete, steel and frame construction	40 years	FTA Circular 5010.1D
Other Capital Equipment		
Fare boxes	10 years	Manfacturer/Industry stds.
Computer hardware	4 years	GAAP Guidelines/Industry Stds.
Computer hardware- Domain controllers	4 years	Industry Stds.
Mobile data computers (real-time dispatching)	7 years	Manfacturer
Computer software LACTUS	4 years	GAAP Guidelines/Industry Stds.
Computer software - HASTUS	4 years	Manufactuer
Computer software- ADP	4 years	Industry Stds. GAAP Guidelines/Industry Stds.
Scheduling/fleet management software Communications equipment, mobile radios, base stations	4 years	GAAP Guidelines/Industry Stds.
Security/Surveillance equipment, cameras for vehicles	10 years Same as useful life of vehicle	GAAF Guidelines/Illidustry Stus.
Security/Surveillance equipment, cameras for vehicles Security/Surveillance equipment, cameras for buildings	10 years	Industry Stds.
Shop equipment- Alignment machines, bus washing, tire changers	10 years	Manufactuer
Bus lift	20 years	Manufactuer
Wheelchair lift	Same as useful life of vehicle	Wallardetder
Bus shelters	15 years	Industry Stds.
Bus shelter/stop benches	10 years	Manufactuer
Office furniture	10 years	Manufactuer
Carpeting	5 years	Manufactuer
Repeater tower	25 years	Manufactuer
Engine for bus/trolley	4 years	Industry Stds.
Bus stop signage	10 years	Industry Stds.
HVAC parts	5 years	Grantee experience
Asphalt parking lot	15 years	GASB
Thermal diesel particle filter cleaner	10 years	Manufactuer
Commercial roofing	15 years	Industry Stds.

Appendix H: NEPA Checklist

Information required by the FTA to initiate NEPA.

INFORMATION REQUIRED TO INITIATE NEPA

A CHECKLIST

For FTA to determine the extent of environmental analysis required for a proposed project, we must have a clear idea of what it may do to the environment. This includes the **natural environment** (soil, water, air, flora/fauna) *and* the **human environment** (land use, traffic, etc.). Additionally, FTA must determine whether any Federal funding is sought (now or in the future) for the proposal and if FTA is required to make a decision or approval (e.g., approval for incidental use of property).

Information Required	QUESTIONS to be ADDRESSED
Sources of federal, state, and local funds and transit nexus	Is the project a Federal Action eligible for FTA funding?
Description of existing property with a clear map showing the Area of Potential Effect (APE)	What are the Existing conditions? Cleared land or forested land? Water? Urban, rural, suburban? Gently rolling, flat, etc.? Modern visual intrusions in the area, such as cell towers, modern buildings, etc.? Is the site a brownfield or contaminated? Have any site assessments been completed
Street address or coordinates	Are there possible environmental areas of concern at the site or in its surroundings? Any known hazards? Existing buildings contain lead paint/asbestos? Standing water on site? Industrial site? Industrial sites within a block of the project site? In a historic district?
Photos of property, any buildings on property and the surrounding area directly adjacent to the project site.	What are the characteristics of the natural environment of the property? Provide photos of the land in all directions and of any buildings or structures on the land. Are any of the buildings be historic? Are the buildings in use? Are the buildings safe? Who/what industry occupies the building?
Photos of surrounding buildings visible from property	Are there any nearby buildings that may be historic? Any new construction visible from the project site? Number of stories of the buildings surrounding the project site.
Description of complete project with site plan. Be specific for each action of the project.	What physical changes will be made to the existing site? Any digging or other ground disturbing activities such as clearing and grubbing? Will a building be constructed? Will an existing building be renovated or rehabilitated?

?

Will parking be added or subtracted? Any changes to the traffic amount or flow due to the project? Provide any renderings that are available of the existing project site and the planned work. Be specific about actions, such as replacement of windows/doors, new construction, etc. Will the completed project include storage facilities, maintenance facilities or an operation center?

List of actions required upon existing property to achieve complete project (e.g., clear 5 acres of wooded land, demolish building, culvert and cover creek, etc.)	Are there physical changes that are not obvious in the site plan? (e.g., excavation for a basement, fuel storage Are there going to be any residential or business displacements?
Logical termini, alignment, mode, and technology (if a linear project).	Has thorough planning for the proposed project occurred? (3 out of 4 are typically enough to begin NEPA.) Any feasibility or environmental studies been completed? If so, please attach to the checklist document.
List of any public involvement done for the project, to date, if any	Has the community affected by the project been informed? If so, when was the community engaged/informed? Is there any potential controversy? Are there any local organizations that should be informed?

Property Acquisition: Unless an early or at-risk (hardship or protective buy) acquisition meets the conditions, property cannot be acquired until NEPA is **complete** and an environmental determination or decision document has been issued by FTA TR04. This restriction is found in FTA's environmental regulations (23 CFR 771.113) and includes offers to purchase the property or any other commitment to purchase the property or to proceed to a settlement (FTA Circular 5010.1E). Project sponsors should contact FTA TR04 with any questions about potential timing of property acquisitions and their corresponding NEPA documentation.

Examples, which can be either implied or explicit, of prohibited acquisition activities during the NEPA process include:

- Any offer to purchase;
- Any negotiation to purchase;
- Any discussion on price;
- Any commitment to purchase or establishing any conditions of purchase; and,
- Any commitment to proceed to settlement.

Review Process: For planning purposes, please be advised that upon receipt of the *Information Required to Initiate NEPA* (aka NEPA Checklist), FTA's Environmental Protection Specialists (EPS) may take up to 30 days to review the information for completeness.

If the NEPA Checklist is incomplete or lacking specific information to make a NEPA Class of Action (COA) determination, the recipient will receive comments and or requests for further information.

As a reminder, please provide a concise and complete NEPA Checklist as laid out in the column "Questions to be Addressed." Physical descriptions of the project and description of existing environmental conditions that the proposed project may / may not impact are very important.

For any questions or concerns, please contact Julia Carrie Walker (<u>julia.walker@dot.gov</u>) and Ron Smith (<u>ronald.smith@dot.gov</u>)

The more information FTA knows about a project, the more accurate we can be in assigning the most appropriate level of environmental analysis.

(Rev. October 2018) Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification

requester. Do not send to the IRS.

Give Form to the

► Go to www.irs.gov/FormW9 for instructions and the latest information.

	1 Name (as shown on your income tax return). Name is required on this line	do not leave this line blank.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Hall County, Georgia				
ł	2 Business name/disregarded entity name, if different from above				
	Hall County Board of Commissioners				
age 3.	2 Charles appropriate how for forderal toy algorithms of the page of whose page is entered on line 1. Charles		neck only one of the	4 Exemptions (codes apply only to certain entities, not individuals; see	
e. ns on page	Individual/sole proprietor or C Corporation S Corporation Partnership T single-member LLC		☐ Trust/estate	instructions on page 3): Exempt payee code (if any) 3	
ğ i	Limited liability company. Enter the tax classification (C=C corporation,	S=S corporation, P=Partne	rship) ▶		
Print or type. Specific Instructions	Note: Check the appropriate box in the line above for the tax classifica LLC if the LLC is classified as a single-member LLC that is disregarded another LLC that is not disregarded from the owner for U.S. federal tax is disregarded from the owner should check the appropriate box for the	I from the owner unless the purposes. Otherwise, a sin	owner of the LLC is gle-member LLC that	Exemption from FATCA reporting code (if any) N/A	
ĠĊ	✓ Other (see instructions) ► Govern	ment Entity		(Applies to accounts maintained outside the U.S.)	
S	5 Address (number, street, and apt. or suite no.) See instructions.		Requester's name a	and address (optional)	
See	PO Drawer 1435		J		
"	6 City, state, and ZIP code	-]		
	Gainesville, GA 30503				
	7 List account number(s) here (optional)				
Par	Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)	•			
	our TIN in the appropriate box. The TIN provided must match the n			curity number	
	p withholding. For individuals, this is generally your social security n nt alien, sole proprietor, or disregarded entity, see the instructions for		for a		
	s, it is your employer identification number (EIN). If you do not have		et a		
TIN, la		J.	or		
Note: If the account is in more than one name, see the instructions for line 1. Number To Give the Requester for guidelines on whose number to enter.		1. Also see What Name	and Employer	identification number	
			5 8	- 6 0 0 0 8 3 6	
Part	II Certification				
Under	penalties of perjury, I certify that:				
	number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification nu				
Sen	not subject to backup withholding because: (a) I am exempt from b vice (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a fai onger subject to backup withholding; and				
3. I am	a U.S. citizen or other U.S. person (defined below); and				
4. The	FATCA code(s) entered on this form (if any) indicating that I am exe	mpt from FATCA reporting	ng is correct.		
you ha acquis other t	cation instructions. You must cross out item 2 above if you have been we failed to report all interest and dividends on your tax return. For real ition or abandonment of secured property, cancellation of debt, contrib- nan interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the certification	estate transactions, item 2 utions to an individual reti	2 does not apply. For rement arrangement	or mortgage interest paid, t (IRA), and generally, payments	
Sign Here	Signature of U.S. person ► Taylor Samples -	Finance Manager	Date ► (-24-	-2022	
Ger	neral Instructions	• Form 1099-DIV (difunds)	ividends, including	those from stocks or mutual	
noted.	n references are to the Internal Revenue Code unless otherwise	Form 1099-MISC proceeds)	(various types of in	come, prizes, awards, or gross	
related	developments. For the latest information about developments to Form W-9 and its instructions, such as legislation enacted bey were published, go to www.irs.gov/FormW9.	Form 1099-B (stock transactions by broken)		ales and certain other	
		 Form 1099-S (pro- 	ceeds from real est	ate transactions)	
D	oose of Form	* Form 1000 K /mo	robant pard and this	rd party network transactions)	

An individual or entity (Form W-9 requester) who is required to file an information return with the IRS must obtain your correct taxpayer identification number (TIN) which may be your social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN), to report on an information return the amount paid to you, or other amount reportable on an information return. Examples of information returns include, but are not limited to, the following.

• Form 1099-INT (interest earned or paid)

- Form 1098 (home mortgage interest), 1098-E (student loan interest), 1098-T (tuition)
- Form 1099-C (canceled debt)
- Form 1099-A (acquisition or abandonment of secured property) Use Form W-9 only if you are a U.S. person (including a resident

alien), to provide your correct TIN.

If you do not return Form W-9 to the requester with a TIN, you might be subject to backup withholding. See What is backup withholding, later.



FY 2026 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

Adopted: February 11, 2025





2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, GA 30504 Tel: 770.297.5541 ghmpo.org

A Resolution by the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee Adopting the FY 2026 Unified Planning Work Program

WHEREAS, the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization for transportation planning within the Gainesville Metropolitan Area Boundary which includes all of Hall County and a portion of Jackson County following the 2010 Census; and

WHEREAS, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) requires the Metropolitan Planning Organization to develop and adopt a Unified Planning Work Program; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Planning Work Program is consistent with all plans, goals, and objectives of the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization.

NOW, THERE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization adopts the FY 2026 Unified Planning Work Program for the period from July 1, 2025 to June 30, 2026.

A motion was made by PC member _	Sam Courillory	and seconded by PC member
Ed Asbridge	and approved this the 11 th Day of February, 2025.	

Chairman Marty Clark, Chair **GHMPO Policy Committee**

Joseph Boyd, Transportation Planning Director

FY 2026 BUDGET TASKS & SUB-ELEMENTS



TASK # 5: TRANSIT PLANNING

Sub-Element 5.1: Program Support & Administration (FTA ALI Code 44.21.00)

Objective

 Administer and operate the MPO transit planning process by properly coordinating MPO functions with the Georgia Department of Transportation, the Federal Transit Administration, and all involved stakeholders, including accounting for all MPO transit planning-related activities during fiscal year 2026.

FY 2025 Activities

- Developed the transit section in the 2026 UPWP and presented to the GHMPO committees.
- Adopted the FY 2026 UPWP in February 2025.
- Managed the FY 2025 Section 5303 contract and successfully completed all tasks listed in the grant program.
- Participated in the transit program update calls conducted by GDOT.
- Prepared and submitted the FY 2026 Section 5303 grant application to GDOT.
- Hall Area Transit Indirect Costs Analysis
- GHMPO retained its membership of the Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations.

FY 2026 Activities

- Provide transit planning administration and assistance to HAT.
- Work alongside HAT and consultant to develop an indirect-cost analysis to enable HAT to claim indirect cost reimbursements in the future.
- Continue coordination with HAT in developing the transit work element for the FY 2026 UPWP.
- Participate and present transit related information and activities before municipalities and/or appropriate committees.
- Continue to assist HAT with their microtransit service "WeGo".
- Task # 5.1 will address GHMPO planning priority numbers 3 through 8.

Product

- FY 2027 Section 5303 grant application by September 2025
- 2024-2027 TIP amendments, as necessary, by June 2026
- · Accounting report at the end of each fiscal quarter

TRANSPORTATION RELATED PLANNING ACTIVITIES		
ORGANIZATION	ACTIVITIES	
GHMPO	Program Support & Administration	

TARGET START	7/1/2025 –	LEAD AGENCY	GHMPO
AND END DATES	6/30/2026		

FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
FTA	\$54,443.00
STATE 5303 MATCH	\$6,805.00
LOCAL CASH MATCH	\$6,806.00
TOTAL	\$68,054.00

Anticipated Funding for FY 2027

FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
FTA	\$54,740.75
STATE 5303 MATCH	\$6,842.59
LOCAL CASH MATCH	\$6,842.59
TOTAL	\$68,425.93

TASK # 5: TRANSIT PLANNING

Sub-Element 5.2: Long Range Transportation Planning (Project Level) (FTA ALI Code 44.23.01)

Objective

- Develop and keep current the transit portion of the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
- Address the eleven IIJA Planning Factors and the three Planning Emphasis Areas through this plan.

FY 2025 Activities

- Continued work on the the 2025 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Update, which was adopted in May 2025.
- Assisted Hall County, Gainesville, Oakwood, and Flowery Branch on the creation of a Safety Action Plan through the Safe Streets for All grant.

FY 2026 Activities

- Make updates to the 2025 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Update as necessary.
- Task # 5.2 will address GHMPO planning priority numbers 1 through 8.

Product

- MTP: 2025 Update amendments, as necessary.
- Various other studies or reports as needed.

TRANSPORTATION RELATED PLANNING ACTIVITIES		
ORGANIZATION	ACTIVITIES	
GHMPO	Long Range Transportation Planning (Project Level)	

TARGET START AND END DATES	7/1/2025 – 6/30/2026	LEAD AGENCY	GHMPO
AND END DATES	0/00/2020		

FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
FTA	\$54,443.00
STATE 5303 MATCH	\$6,805.00
LOCAL CASH MATCH	\$6,806.00
TOTAL	\$68,054.00

Anticipated Funding for FY 2027

FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
FTA	\$54,740.74
STATE 5303 MATCH	\$6,842.59
LOCAL CASH MATCH	\$6,842.59
TOTAL	\$68,425.92

TASK # 5: TRANSIT PLANNING

Sub-Element 5.3: Transportation Improvement Program (FTA ALI Code 44.25.00)

Objective

• Update and amend Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), as necessary, to keep it up-to-date.

FY 2025 Activities

• Adopted amendments to the 2024-2027 TIP.

FY 2026 Activities

- Update and amend the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program as necessary.
- Task # 5.3 will address GHMPO planning priority number 2.

Product

• Amendments to the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program, as necessary

TRANSPORTATION RELATED PLANNING ACTIVITIES		
ORGANIZATION ACTIVITIES		
GHMPO	Transportation Improvement Program	

TARGET START 7/1/2025 – 6/30/2026	LEAD AGENCY	GHMPO
--	-------------	-------

FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
FTA	\$7,197.00
STATE 5303 MATCH	\$900.00
LOCAL CASH MATCH	\$900.00
TOTAL	\$8,997.00

Anticipated Funding for FY 2027

FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
FTA	\$7,236.51
STATE 5303 MATCH	\$904.57
LOCAL CASH MATCH	\$904.57
TOTAL	\$9,086.97

Appendix A: PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT TARGETS RESOLUTION





A Resolution by the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee Setting Performance Management Targets

WHEREAS, federal regulations require that the Long Range Transportation Plans and the Transportation Improvement Programs include Safety Performance Management Targets for urbanized areas; and

WHEREAS, the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization (GHMPO), in coordination with the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), has reviewed the requirement to adopt PM1 (Safety), PM 2 (Bridge and Pavement), and PM 3 (National Highway System, Freight, and CMAQ) Performance Management Targets for use in the transportation process; and

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) at their meetings on December 4, 2024 and December 5, 2024, respectively, recommended that GHMPO support the Bridge and Pavement Performance Management Targets approved by GDOT as follows:

PM 1 Targets for 2025

GHMPO supports the following Safety Performance Management Targets approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation for calendar year 2025:

- Number of Fatalities: 1,600
 - $\hfill\Box$ To maintain the 5-year moving average traffic fatalities under the projected 1,680 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Number of Serious Injuries: 7,109
 - □ To maintain the 5-year moving average serious traffic injuries under the projected 8,966 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Fatality Rate: 1.25
 - □ To maintain the 5-year moving average traffic fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled under the projected 1.25 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Serious Injury Rate: 5,711
 - □ To reduce the 5-year moving average serious traffic injuries for every 100 million vehicle miles traveled under the projected 5.711 (2021-2025) 5-year average by 2025
- Total Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries: 797
 - To maintain the 5-year moving average non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries under the projected 797 (2021-2025 rolling average) by 2025



PM 2 Targets

Table 1 Bridge Level of Service Measure

ASSET	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	DESCRIPTION	2-YEAR TARGET	4-YEAR TARGET
Bridge Structures	Percent of NHS Bridge in Poor condition as a percentage of total NHS bridge deck area	Bridge Conditions are based on results of inspection on all Bridge structures. Bridges rated as "Poor" are safe to drive on; however, they are nearing a point where it is necessary to either replace the bridge or extend its service life through substantial rehabilitations investments	≤ 10% (NHS) in Poor Condition	≤ 10% (NHS) in Poor Condition
Bridge Structures	Percent of NHS Bridges in Good condition as a percentage of total NHS bridge deck area	Bridges rated as "Good" will be evaluated as to cost of to maintain Good condition. Bridges rated as "Fair" will be evaluated as to cost of replacement vs. rehabilitation to bring the structure back to the condition rating of Good.	≥ 50% (NHS) in Good Condition	≥ 60% (NHS) in Good Condition

Table 2 Pavement Level of Services

ASSET	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	DESCRIPTION	TARGET
Interstate NHS	Percent of Interstate NHS pavements in Poor condition	Pavement conditions are measured through field inspections. Pavements in "poor" condition are in need of work due to either the ride quality or due to a structural deficiency.	≤ 5% (NHS) in Poor Condition
Interstate NHS	Percent of Interstate NHS pavements in Good condition	Interstate pavement rated as "good" will be considered for potential pavement preservation treatments to maintain the "good" rating.	≥ 50% (NHS) in Good Condition
Non- Interstate NHS	Percent of NHS pavements in Poor condition	Non-interstate NHS pavements in "poor" condition are in need of major maintenance. These will be evaluated for potential projects.	≤ 12% (NHS) in Poor Condition
Non- Interstate NHS	Percent of NHS pavements in Good condition	Non-interstate NHS pavements in "good" condition will be evaluated for potential preservation treatments.	≥ 40% (NHS) in Good Condition

Note: The 2-yr and 4-yr targets are the same. GDOT will have an opportunity to revisit and adjust (if necessary) the 4-year target in 2024

PM 3 Targets

Summary of the PM 3 Performance Measures

PERFORMANCE MEASURE	GEOGRAPHIC EXTENT	APPLICABLE ROADWAYS	
Percentage of person-miles traveled on the Interstate that are reliable	Statewide	Interstate	
Percentage of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	Statewide	Non-Interstate	
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index	Statewide	Interstate	
Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Per Capita*	Atlanta Urbanized Area	Entire NHS	
Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Travel*	Atlanta Urbanized Area	All Roads	
Total Emissions Reduction	Statewide	All Roads	

^{*}GDOT, Atlanta Regional Commission and Cartersville-Bartow Metropolitan Planning Organization are required to establish and report single targets for Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Per Capita and Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Travel for Atlanta urbanized area.

PM 3 Targets

PERFORMANCE MEASURE	2-YEAR TARGET	4-YEAR TARGET
Percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate that are reliable	73.9%	68.4%
Percent of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable	87.3%	85.3%
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index	1.62	1.65
Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) Per Capita*	23.7 hours	27.2 hours
Percent of Non-Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) Travel*	22.7%	22.7%
Total Emissions Reduction	VOC: 157.200 kg/day;	VOC: 257.100 kg/day;
	NOx: 510.900 kg/day	NOx: 904.200 kg/day

Note: GDOT will have an opportunity to revisit and adjust (if necessary) the 4-year target in 2024



NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the GHMPO Policy Committee (PC) concurs with the recommendations of the TCC and CAC that GHMPO agree to support the Safety Performance Management Targets, Bridge and Pavement Performance Management Targets, and the Targets for Performance of the National Highway System, Freight, and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality, as approved by GDOT.

A motion was made by PC member ______ and seconded by PC member ______ and approved this the 10th Day of December, 2024.

Commissioner Jim Hix, Chair

Subscribed and sworn to me this the 10th Day of December, 2024.

Appendix B: TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT TARGETS RESOLUTION





Gainesville - Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization

A Resolution by the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee Setting Transit Asset Management Targets

WHEREAS, the Gainesville-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization (GHMPO) is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for transportation planning within the Gainesville-Hall Planning Area which includes entire Hall County and a western portion of Jackson County; and

WHEREAS, federal legislation and rulemaking under MAP-21 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century) and the FAST Act (Fixing America's Surface Transportation) established new performance management requirements to ensure state Departments of Transportation (DOT) and MPOs focus the use of federal transportation funds on projects which address national transportation goals; and

WHEREAS, GHMPO, in coordination with the Federal Transit Administration and the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), has reviewed the requirement to adopt a Group Transit Asset Management Plan for use in the transportation planning process; and

WHEREAS, transit providers are required to establish and assess state of good repair performance targets; and

WHEREAS, MPOs are required to establish state of good repair transit performance targets after the transit providers establish their initial targets; and

WHEREAS, in consultation with GDOT and transit providers, GHMPO may update its state of good repair targets annually; and

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) at their meetings on January 16th and January 31st respectively recommended that GHMPO support the Group Transit Asset Management Plan Targets approved by the GDOT as follows:

Transit Asset Management 2019-2022 Performance Targets:

2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, Georgia 30504 (770) 297-2625 www.ghmpo.org



Gainesville - Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization

Asset Category/Class	Total Number	Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	Number Exceeding ULB ¹ / 3.0 TERM Rating	% Exceeding ULB / 3.0 TERM Rating	Proposed FY19 Targets
Rolling Stock	775		96	12.4%	
BU-Bus (35' - 40')	82	14 yrs.	8	9.8%	15%
BU-Bus (29' - 30')	54	12 yrs.	21	38.9%	35%
CU-Cutaway bus	593	7 yrs.	52	8.8%	10%
MV-Minivan	1	8 yrs.	1	100.0%	50%
SB-School bus ²	33	15 yrs.	8	24.2%	50%
VN-Van	12	8 yrs.	6	50.0%	50%
Equipment	55		23	42.6%	
AO - Automobile	18	8 yrs.	11	61.1%	55%
Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	31	10 yrs.	11	35.5%	55%
Equip. > \$50,000 3	6	14 yrs.	n/a	n/a	n/a
Facilities	83		7	8.4%	
Administration	62	n/a	2	3.2%	25%
Maintenance	11	n/a	5	45.5%	25%
Passenger / Parking Facilities	10	n/a	0	0%	10%

¹ For facilities, number below 3.0 TERM rating is used

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the GHMPO Policy Committee (PC) concurs with the recommendations of the TCC and CAC that GHMPO agree to support the Group Transit Asset Management Targets as approved by GDOT.

A motion was made by PC member Dancel	Dunagan and seconded by PC
member Richard Higgins	and approved this the 12 th of February, 2019.

Mayor Mike Miller, Chair Policy Committee

Subscribed and sworn to me this the 12th of February, 2019

Emily Foote Notary Public Hall County State of Georgia

State of Georgia
My commission expires July 31, 2022

My commission expires 7/31/2c22

2875 Browns Bridge Road Gainesville, Georgia 30504 (770) 297-2625 www.ghmpo.org

² Refers to vehicle type, not type of service operated

³ For equipment, FTA requires performance targets to only be set for non-revenue or service vehicles